## **Switch On**

# **University of Birmingham Stephen Gorard**



Evaluation Summery	
Age range	Year 7
Number of pupils	350
Number of schools	19
Design	Randomised controlled trial, randomised at the pupil level
Primary Outcome	Reading

#### Outline protocol for co-operative evaluation of 'Switch-on' Transitions project

#### Introduction

The project to be evaluated is a randomised controlled trial of an intervention called Switch-on for use with Year 7. The intervention involves daily short individual sessions for 10 weeks. Here Switch-on is being offered to Year 7 pupils eligible for the pupil premium who do not achieve Level 4 English at Key Stage 2 (KS2). It will take place for one group in Spring term 2012, and for a waiting-list group in Summer term 2012. There is some evidence of effectiveness but not yet in England with this age group. Therefore a trial is appropriate.

## Impact evaluation

#### Design

The outline for the intervention proposes a relatively simple one-term waiting list design. All schools will receive the Switch-on intervention, and all will have previously agreed for half of their relevant pupils to be randomised to immediate intervention or the intervention after one term.

#### Sample size

The project involves randomisation of around 350 individual Year 7 pupils, within 19 schools, to one of two groups. Approximately 175 pupils will receive Switch-on from January 2013, and 175 pupils one term later. A pseudo-random number generator will select the treatment or waiting group for each pupil, after the pre-test has been conducted with all cases.

The project outline suggests an effect size (d) of 1.3 for a similar intervention (no data is available for Switch-on itself). The What Works Clearing House (2008) suggests a medium to large effect size. Using Lehr's approximation for an 80% chance of detecting a presumed effect size of 1.0 with 5% alpha, the minimum sample size required per arm is only 16 individual cases (Gorard 2013). Although this study involves individual randomisation within schools (and no randomisation of schools) 175 cases per arm should give this trial power.

The pre-test scores for both groups will be the GL New Group Reading Test A, administered individually. The test will be administered by the schools themselves conjunction with the project team. Because this will take place before randomisation, the process will be 'blind' as to treatment group. In addition, the evaluation will have the KS2 assessment results in literacy as a secondary pre-test score.

The post-test scores for both groups will be the GL New Group Reading Test B, administered individually. This test will be administered by schools in the presence of members of the evaluation team and their temporary employees (such as doctoral researchers) who will not know which arm each individual is in. Schools will be instructed not to disclose the fact to the evaluators. This is to help ensure that the process is 'blind' as to treatment group. In addition, we will have the most up-to-date teachers assessment results in literacy as a secondary post-test score.

Ideally, the test will be conducted on-line as far as possible to assist standard timing and marking.

#### Other data

The intervention team have been sent a template for data to be uploaded for all relevant pupils at the outset of the trial. The template includes prior attainment plus background characteristics such as FSM, sex and ethnicity.

#### Analysis

The primary outcome measure will be the difference in the gain score between the arms of the trial, expressed as an effect size, where the gain is the average difference between individual scores on tests A and B. A secondary outcome measure will be the average residuals between the actual scores on test B and the predicted (modelled) scores based on prior KS2 literacy assessment and pupil background characteristics.

#### **Process evaluation**

The fieldwork forming the light-touch process evaluation has the aim of providing some formative evidence on all aspects of the intervention from the selection and retention of schools, through the training of teachers to evaluating the outcomes. This can be used to help assess fidelity to treatment, and the perceptions of participants including any resentment or resistance, and to advise on improvements and issues for any future scaling up.

This will all necessitate the generation of some additional data from observation and interviews with staff, focus groups of pupils, plus observation of training, delivery and testing. These will all be as simple and integrated and non-intrusive as possible. The schedule of visits will be agreed with the intervention team and the schools. Schools will agree to be part of the evaluation when agreeing to be part of the intervention.

#### Timeline (proposed)

This timeline is a draft, and we are happy to adjust in discussion with EEF and the project team.

December 2013- Observation of training for schools

Assistance with pupil selection and recruitment process

Order NGRT tests

Collect KS2 and background data

Randomisation of pupils to two groups, by schools

Pre-tests conducted in schools

January 2013- Light touch observation of ongoing process

Interviews with project members, staff and pupils

March 2013- Administer post-tests in schools

Update background data Analyse outcome data

Synthesise with process evaluation data

Complete full EEF report.

## References

Gorard, S. (2013) *Research Design: Robust approaches for the social sciences*, London: Sage What Works Clearing House (2008) *Reading Recovery*,

http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/InterventionReport.aspx?sid=420