

Independent evaluation of Maths Champions in nursery settings to develop children’s early numeracy: A two-armed cluster randomised controlled trial



Evaluation Protocol

Evaluator: University of York and Durham University

Principal investigators: Dr Lyn Robinson-Smith, Hannah Ainsworth, Prof David Torgerson

PROJECT TITLE	Independent evaluation of Maths Champions in nursery settings to develop children’s early numeracy: A two-armed cluster randomised controlled trial
DEVELOPER (INSTITUTION)	National Day Nurseries Association (NDNA)
EVALUATOR (INSTITUTION)	University of York and Durham University
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS	Dr Lyn Robinson-Smith, Hannah Ainsworth (until August 2022) and Prof David Torgerson (from August 2022), University of York
PROTOCOL AUTHORS	Lyn Robinson-Smith, Katie Whiteside, Carole Torgerson, Xiaofei Qi, Caroline Fairhurst, Louise Elliott, Catherine Hewitt, Kalpita Joshi, Victoria Menzies, David Torgerson, Hannah Ainsworth ¹
TRIAL DESIGN	Two-armed cluster randomised controlled trial with random allocation at the nursery level
TRIAL TYPE	Effectiveness
CHILD AGE RANGE AND KEY STAGE	3-4 years at baseline (starting reception in September 2022)
NUMBER OF SCHOOLS	138 nursery settings
NUMBER OF CHILDREN	1380 children
PRIMARY OUTCOME MEASURE AND SOURCE	Maths attainment score (Assessment Profile on Entry for Children and Toddlers [ASPECTS])
SECONDARY OUTCOME MEASURE AND SOURCE	Practitioner confidence(short survey adapted from Chen et al., 2014) Literacy/Language (reading and phonological awareness) score (ASPECTS) Child development at 2 years old (Ages and Stages Questionnaire) and correlation to ASPECTS at 3 and 4 years olds Child attainment at the end of Reception year at school

¹ Hannah Ainsworth was joint PI up to August 2022 when she left the University of York

(Early Years Foundation Stage Profile data (completed at the end of Reception) collected from National Pupil Database.)

Protocol version history

VERSION	DATE	REASON FOR REVISION
1.0	02 March 2020	N/A
2.0	02 November 2020	<p>Addition of Outcome measures and Analysis sections relevant to the pilot study which were omitted from v1.0.</p> <p>Summary of changes to the pilot trial design as a result of COVID-19 section added.</p> <p>Addition of Research Question (RQ) 4 to the effectiveness trial to reflect collection of ASQ-3 data via NHS Digital database and it's correlation to ASPECTS at aged 3 and 4 years old. See the Effectiveness trial impact evaluation section.</p> <p>Clarification of setting types to setting level eligibility criteria for effectiveness trial. See the effectiveness trial Participants section.</p> <p>Addition of EYPP purposive sampling and changes to potential analysis to reflect this within the effectiveness trial. See the effectiveness trial Participants section.</p> <p>Addition of IPE RQ 7 to reflect data collection in relation to the impact of COVID-19 to the delivery of the MC programme within the effectiveness trial. See the Effectiveness trial Implementation and process evaluation section.</p> <p>Update to timeline to reflect that the pilot study was paused Mar 2020, with the view to restart in Oct 2020 with reduced evaluation activities, and the effectiveness trial was delayed by one year from 2020-21 to 2021-22. See Table 9.</p> <p>Update to the risks table to reflect potential impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the effectiveness trial. See Table 8.</p> <p>Update to trial diagram to reflect delay to the start of the effectiveness trial due to COVID-19. See Appendix A: Effectiveness Trial Diagram.</p> <p>Change of PI at Durham University from C. Torgerson to V. Menzies, effective October 2020.</p>
3.0	08 November 2021	<p>Edits to nursery setting eligibility criteria, setting's trial paperwork requirements, and use of setting reserve list during recruitment.</p> <p>Addition of parent/carers eConsent in the main trial. See section 'Participants'.</p>

		<p>Edit to who will conduct the baseline assessment (staff in all nursery settings will be asked to complete baseline assessments with participating children, if this is not possible the evaluation team will send a research assistant to the nursery to complete these) see page 30.</p> <p>Strategies to gain parental consent will not be explored with settings though pilot IPE interviews.</p> <p>Addition of appendices B to H.</p> <p>Edit to one secondary outcome measure: only sub-scale 2 of the 'Earth Math Beliefs and Confidence Survey' will be included as the secondary outcome of Practitioner Confidence: Maths. Information regarding this updated throughout protocol.</p>
4.0	21 Sept 2022	<p>Change of Joint PI at University of York from H. Ainsworth to D. Torgerson (with L. Robinson-Smith), effective August 2022.</p> <p>Edits to the compliance criteria have been made in discussion with NDNA. For this programme it was agreed that the Maths Champion could hold Level 3 qualifications rather than graduate level. Feedback from the previous MC study suggested some practitioners would benefit from some coaching guidance. The coaching course was added as an optional element (rather than compulsory) for those who felt they needed additional support in this area. Any setting who is able to embed a minimum of 8 mandatory resources is considered well engaged with the activities. As webinars are optional, any setting that makes the time to attend at least 2 webinars is considered well engaged.</p> <p>The earlier version of the protocol indicated that 'If a research assistant visits a nursery to complete the baseline testing with children, baseline scores will be adjusted for within the primary outcome statistical model, which will account for any differences hypothetically caused by type of assessor at baseline.' This has been edited to reflect the latest approach detailed in the Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP), which describes this analysis as a sensitivity analysis rather than the primary analysis model. Therefore, this version of the protocol has been updated to clarify this. In addition, there will be a sensitivity analysis to account for occurrences where post-test data is not collected by a blinded Research Assistant.</p> <p>Data relating to which children would be tracked as part of the Maths Champions programme was not collected pre-randomisation. Therefore, the proposed</p>

		<p>subgroup analysis relating to this cannot be conducted and has been removed.</p> <p>Current scoring of the EYFSP for the longitudinal analysis has been confirmed and appropriate changes made to the analysis of these outcomes. It was initially proposed that attainment in Mathematics as part of the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile (EYFSP) would be assessed by summing the scores from the early learning goals (ELGs) that make up this domain, and that this would be analysed as a continuous outcome using a linear mixed model. However, recent changes to the EYFSP mean that ELGs are only scored as 'emerging' and 'expected' (the 'exceeding' option has been removed). Two ELGs make up the Mathematics domain, scoring these as 1 and 2, the sum would only range from 2-4; therefore, it is inappropriate to analyse this as a continuous measure. Instead, we shall convert this to a dichotomous variable, in terms of whether or not the participant achieved 'expected' across both ELGs. A similar approach will be taken for the Literacy domain, which consists of three ELGs. Analyses will be via mixed-effect logistic regression models.</p> <p>Additionally, the earlier version of the protocol indicated that the longitudinal analysis models will be adjusted for baseline Core Mathematics Standard Score and the minimisation factor of number of children with parent/carer agreement to participate within the setting; however, these were typos and the models will be adjusted for baseline ASPECTS numeracy/language score, and setting-level minimisation factors (as per the primary analysis model). Subgroup analyses for the longitudinal analysis has been updated to be consistent with the main effectiveness trial.</p> <p>The analysis of the practitioner confidence survey stated that all three subscales of this instrument would be analysed, but this was an error. We are only using one subscale of the practitioner confidence survey so only this will be analysed.</p> <p>Addition of subgroup analysis for PVI vs school-based nurseries. This was added after the initial version of the statistical analysis plan had been published, but prior to the start of analysis.</p> <p>Updated cost evaluation survey frequency and distribution dates.</p>
--	--	---

Table of contents

Protocol version history	3
Table of contents	6
Study rationale and background	8
Intervention	12
Logic model	16
Pilot study	19
Objectives.....	19
Design	20
Participants.....	20
Outcome measures	21
Analysis	22
Pilot implementation and process evaluation	22
Summary of changes to the pilot trial design as a result of COVID-19	25
Effectiveness trial impact evaluation	26
Research questions	26
Design	27
Randomisation	28
Participants.....	28
Sample size calculations	31
Outcome measures	32
Compliance	34
Analysis	38
Longitudinal follow-ups	39
Effectiveness trial Implementation and process evaluation	40
Research questions	40
Research design, methods of data collection and analysis	42
Cost evaluation	44
Ethics and registration	44
Trial monitoring	45
Trial Management Group.....	45
Trial management.....	45
Child safeguarding.....	45
Complaints	45
Declaration of interests	45
Access to data	46

Publication and dissemination policy	46
Data protection.....	46
Personnel	47
Evaluation team.....	47
Delivery team	48
Risks	50
Timeline	53
References	56
Appendix A: Effectiveness Trial Diagram	60
Appendix B: Setting Memorandum of Understanding for Pilot Trial.....	61
Appendix C: Parent/Carer Information Sheet and Consent Form for Pilot Trial.....	65
Appendix D: Recruitment Flyer for Main Trial.....	79
Appendix E: Brief Information for Settings for Main Trial.....	81
Appendix F: Setting Memorandum of Understanding for Main Trial.....	82
Appendix G: Parent/Carer Information Sheet and Consent Form for Main Trial	89
Appendix H: Practitioner Confidence and Beliefs – Maths: Survey	96

Study rationale and background

In England, the most recent Key Stage 2 assessments show that 21% of children do not meet the expected standard in maths at the end of primary school (Department for Education, 2019e). To help minimise attainment gaps, it is important to support children's early maths development, as maths skills at school entry are predictive of both later maths attainment and general educational attainment (Duncan et al., 2007; Watts et al., 2014). A recent report highlighted the importance of quality pre-school provision, with an enriching numeracy curriculum, to support children's maths development and long-term outcomes (Asmussen et al., 2018). Pre-school attendance and quality of pre-school provision, as well as pre-school effectiveness in promoting early number concepts, are predictive of children's maths and reading attainment at Key Stage 1 and 2 (Sammons et al., 2008, 2004), maths and science attainment at Key Stage 3 (Sammons et al., 2011), and even GCSE results (Sylva et al., 2014). Despite this, many nursery practitioners have a lack of training on maths provision and do not feel confident in their own maths skills (von Spreckelsen et al., 2019).

The National Day Nurseries Association (NDNA) developed the Maths Champions programme with the aim of building the confidence and knowledge of nursery practitioners to support the development of children's early maths skills. The EEF have previously commissioned an effectiveness trial evaluating NDNA's Maths Champions programme delivered to graduate practitioners in private, voluntary, and independent (PVI) nurseries during 2016-17 (Robinson-Smith et al., 2018). Here, children aged 3-4 years from nurseries randomly allocated to use the Maths Champions programme made the equivalent of two months additional progress in maths and language development (reading and phonological awareness), in comparison to children in the control group. This effect on maths development was not affected by children's eligibility for Early Years Pupil Premium, how many hours a child attended nursery, or gender. However, the findings should be viewed with caution, as the results were not statistically significant. Moreover, whilst the trial was well designed and conducted, it suffered from high attrition. Indeed, 36% children recruited into the trial were not included in the primary analyses; more than half of this attrition was attributable to children who were assessed at pre-test leaving the setting prior to post-testing. The level of attrition was a potential threat to the validity of the study's findings. Consequently, the EEF have funded a second effectiveness trial of NDNA's Maths Champions programme.

The first effectiveness trial of Maths Champions (Robinson-Smith et al., 2018) found that the programme was positively received by many nurseries, with 82% of settings being at least minimally engaged with the intervention; however, some settings raised the burden on staff time to be a significant issue. Staff often reported that they had to complete activities for the Maths Champions programme within their own non-working time, particularly during the set-up phase, as settings lacked the financial resources to free staff from their normal day-to-day work commitments. Settings that shared the workload of the programme amongst staff, rather than just being the responsibility of the Maths Champion (MC), exhibited higher levels of engagement. A core component of the Maths Champions programme at the time was the completion of the Basic and Key Skill Builder (BKSB), which required practitioners to complete maths assessment at the start and end of the trial to assess maths skills, as well as relevant online modules in between. Only 52% of settings engaged with this core component and practitioner interviews indicated that this was a significant barrier to engagement, negatively impacted on staff confidence and, as a consequence, became a barrier to engagement and implementation of the programme.

In response to the results of the first effectiveness trial of the Maths Champions programme, NDNA have made a number of changes to the programme (summarised in

Table 1). The most significant changes are: the exclusion of the BKSB (a tool to assess levels of practitioners' maths capabilities and provide them with practical activities to develop their skills); the introduction of a Deputy Maths Champion (DMC); and a move from face-to-face initial training to online training. All these changes essentially aim to address the issue of staff burden reported by Robinson-Smith et al. (2018).

The removal of the BKSB is due to low engagement with this component of the programme as reported in the first Maths Champions trial. As a result, NDNA have adapted the programme to provide practitioners with a more comprehensive understanding of the main areas of early years maths. Webinars and online modules will help both the Champions and practitioners to gain a deeper understanding of the six main areas that collectively underpin children's early mathematical learning and provide the firm foundations for the maths that children will encounter as they go up the years in primary school. These six main areas include:

1. **Cardinality and counting** – the cardinal value of numbers so children know what the numbers mean in terms of knowing how many things they refer to. Counting is one way to establish how many things are in a group.
2. **Comparisons** – comparing numbers involves knowing which numbers are worth more or less than each other. However, this depends both on understanding cardinal values and numbers. This understanding helps underpin the mental number line which children will develop.
3. **Composition** – knowing numbers are made up of two or more other smaller numbers. Learning to see a whole number and its parts at the same time is key to development in children's number understanding. Partitioning numbers into other numbers and putting them back together again underpins understanding of addition and subtraction.
4. **Pattern** – seeking and exploring patterns is at the heart of mathematics. Developing an awareness of pattern helps young children to notice and understand mathematical relationships and this can provide foundations of algebraic thinking.
5. **Space and shape and measure** – the existing programme provides firm foundations for children's development in this area, however improvements can still be made. The delivery team felt that the activities would benefit from some clearer links to early years' outcomes and provide some assessment pointers.
6. **Number** – this is well embedded in the existing programme, but would still benefit from slight improvement. There is very little around subsidising e.g. recognising numbers without counting, which is an effective way for children to gain number meaning.

The DMC role has a dual function, allowing settings to spread the programme's workload and continue with the programme should the MC be absent or leave the setting. These changes are to be piloted prior to moving to the second effectiveness trial. Indeed, this evaluation will be implemented in two phases: (1) the pilot study; and (2) the effectiveness trial.

Changes that have been made to the evaluation design between the previous and current effectiveness trials are also summarised in

Table 1. One change relates to who within the setting can be trained to be the MC. In the first effectiveness trial (Robinson-Smith et al., 2018), the inclusion criteria required nurseries to have at least one graduate practitioner within the setting who would be the nominated MC. Within this trial, the practitioner qualification requirements are lowered, so settings without a graduate practitioner can also participate. In this trial, practitioners qualified to at least Level 3 (A-level/NVQ Level 3 or equivalent) who are responsible for leading the quality of the Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS) at their setting can receive training to become a MC. This is reflective of the changing landscape of early years practitioner qualifications. NDNA's (2019) annual workforce survey has demonstrated a reduction in the proportion of nursery staff with graduate qualifications in recent years. The Department for Education (2019d) reported that only 47% of private or voluntary early years settings had a graduate practitioner in 2019. Furthermore, while only 7% of staff in private or voluntary settings were graduate practitioners, 65% were qualified to Level 3 (Department for Education, 2019d).

As noted in

Table 1, another difference between this trial and the trial reported by Robinson-Smith et al. (2018) is that both PVI and school-based nurseries (including maintained nurseries) (SN) are to be recruited. This reflects national provision as, excluding childminders, SN settings make up 27% of early years providers in England (Department for Education, 2019a). This second effectiveness trial therefore seeks to understand whether the Maths Champions programme could also be effective in SN settings. To enable the inclusion of SN settings, the intervention period will be slightly longer in this trial compared to the previous trial, with settings receiving approximately 7-8 months of the intervention before post tests are conducted, rather than 6-7 months (see

Table 1).

A final point to note, in reference to policy changes within early years childcare, is that there has been a significant change to the government Free Early Education Entitlement (FEEE) scheme since Robinson-Smith et al. (2018). Since September 2017, FEEE has extended funded childcare from 15 to 30 hours per week (term-time only) for all eligible 3-4 year olds. In line with this policy change, we may see that children's average weekly attendance within nursery increases (children attended nursery approximately 24 hours per week within the first Maths Champions trial). If so, children will have greater exposure to the intervention within this trial.

Table 1: Changes since the previous evaluation

Feature	Effectiveness trial I	Effectiveness trial II	
Intervention	Intervention content	Completion of BKSB by practitioners One MC at each setting	No BKSB One MC and one DMC at each setting
	Delivery model	Face-to-face induction	Online induction
	Intervention duration	It was planned that settings would implement the Maths Champions programme for 6-7 months	Settings will be supported to implement the Maths Champions programme for approximately 7-8 months (to enable inclusion of school-based nursery (SN) settings)
Evaluation	Eligibility criteria	Setting level: PVI nurseries located in Local Authorities in areas of high deprivation (although recruitment was extended to other Local Authorities) Practitioner level: Requirement for a graduate practitioner to be the nominated MC	Setting level: PVI, maintained nursery schools or children's centres, and government funded infant or primary school-based nursery classes (SN) (no requirement for nurseries to be from deprived Local Authorities). Requirement for nurseries to have a minimum of 15 eligible children in the recruitment cohort. Requirement for settings to not currently be taking part in the evaluation of the Department for Education's Early Years Professional Development Programme. Practitioner level: Practitioners qualified to at least Level 3 (A-level/NVQ Level 3 or equivalent) can be the nominated MC in the absence of a graduate practitioner
	Outcomes and baseline	Nursery environment/provision was measured at post-test using the Early Childhood Environmental	Nursery environment/provision, measured pre- and post-intervention using the ECERS-3 and ECERS-E, will

	<p>Rating Scales 3 (ECERS-3) and the Early Childhood Environmental Rating scale extension (ECERS-E) in all settings as a secondary outcome</p> <p>Practitioner Confidence and Beliefs was measured at post-test using an adapted version of Chen <i>et al.</i>'s (2014) 'Early Math Beliefs and Confidence Survey'.</p>	<p>only be assessed in a sample of four intervention settings for the IPE and will not be a secondary outcome for the impact evaluation.</p> <p>Practitioner Confidence only will be measured at post-test using an adapted version of Chen <i>et al.</i>'s (2014) 'Early Math Beliefs and Confidence Survey', using sub-scale 2 only.</p>
Control condition	<p>Business as usual plus £500 following the completion of post-testing.</p>	<p>Business as usual plus £250 after parent/carer recruitment prior to pre-testing and £250 following the completion of post testing.</p>

Intervention

Table 2: Description of the programme using the Template for Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR) checklist

TIDieR Item	Description
Brief name	Maths Champions
Why: Rationale, theory or goal of the elements essential to the programme	<p>Maths Champions was developed in response to a number of challenges identified in the early years.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is an attainment gap in EYFSP results between disadvantaged children and their peers (Asmussen et al., 2018). • Early Years (EY) practitioners have low confidence and professional understanding to support children's mathematical learning (All Party Parliamentary Group for Maths & Numeracy, 2014). • Research tells us that children who start behind, stay behind (Asmussen et al., 2018). <p>The Early Intervention Foundation (Asmussen et al., 2018, p.149) conclude that enriching the maths curriculum in pre-school results in gains for low-income children; Frye et al. (2013) from the What Works Clearinghouse recommend embedding maths in daily routines and activities and using learning trajectories to monitor progress.</p> <p>The goals of the maths champions programme are to:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce the attainment gap in EYFSP results between disadvantaged children and their peers. • Increase early years practitioners' confidence and professional understanding to support children's mathematical learning. • Provide children with the best start in mathematical development. <p>In line with recommendations by the EEF (2020) to improve mathematics in the early years, these goals will be achieved by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increasing practitioners understanding of how children learn maths. • Increased understanding of pedagogy – e.g. embedding maths though the day through direct teaching and sustained shared thinking. • Champions will audit practice and practitioners' knowledge and develop and review plans of action that will result in an increase in children's achievement. • Champions will work with practitioners in nursery settings to develop mathematical understanding, skills and confidence. • Provide tools and resources to put learning into practice within their settings.
<p>Who: Recipients of this programme</p>	<p>PVI, maintained and school-based nursery (SN) settings.</p> <p>A graduate or Level 3 practitioner will receive training and support for the role of Maths Champion (MC).</p> <p>Another practitioner at each setting, typically a room leader who is qualified to at least Level 3, will receive training and support for the role of Deputy Maths Champion (DMC).</p> <p>The MC and DMC will support other practitioners in their settings to develop their professional understanding and confidence through, for example, coaching to improve practice.</p> <p>The Maths Champions programme will work to improve maths provision and attainment in maths for all children in participating nursery settings.</p> <p>Nurseries may share resources/encouragement/communication with parents/carers regarding child's mathematical development.</p>
<p>What: Physical or informational materials used in the programme</p>	<p>MCs and DMCs will be provided with the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An online webinar induction (1 hour in duration) covering information about leading the programme in their nursery. • Information about the audit tools that MCs will use to evaluate early years maths teaching in their nursery, e.g. the quality of resources available in the nursery to support

	<p>mathematical learning, staff use of mathematical language in discussions with children, planning opportunities for mathematical learning in play and activities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access to three online courses (approximately 2 hours in duration), made up of e-learning modules, which cover early years maths theory and how to support other staff in the nursery: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Coaching as an Educational Lead Mathematical concepts in early years; ○ Developing Mathematical Confidence in the Early Years: the big ideas of number sense; ○ Developing Mathematical Thinking in the Early Years: shape space, measures and pattern – including Characteristics of Effective Learning and sustained, shared thinking. • Access to an online platform with over 700 resources including number songs and rhymes, outdoor maths ideas and links to useful websites and research (Requirement to use 10 mandatory resources from the platform, details below). • Access to optional monthly webinars. The focus of these webinars will be developed in response to setting’s action plan themes, e.g. using outdoor play and snack time to develop children’s mathematics and staff confidence.
<p>What: Procedures, activities and/or processes used in the programme</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of the audit tool, comprised of a set of survey questions, development of action plan, review of action plan with NDNA throughout, review of action plan at end of support provided by NDNA. • Optionally track and monitor children’s development, in line with EYFS, for 6 children on a termly basis². Use of NDNA tracking tool is optional as settings may maintain their own tracking systems. • Use of 10 mandatory resources provided through online platform for 3-4 year olds: Build a maze, Number hunt, Delivering the post, Mud kitchen, Cars down a ramp, Patterns, Construction, Tidy up time, Snack time, Outdoor games. • Use of 10 mandatory resources provided through online platform for 2-3 year olds: Block play, Tidying up, Parachute games, Number rhymes, Snack time, Small world, Puzzles and shape sorters, ‘Let’s Picnic’, Sand and water play, Care routines

² NDNA guidance for this step: “*The children you track should be carefully selected in order to show the best possible impact. We recommend selecting a range of children according to the composition of your setting. This could include; a mixture of boys and girls, children with SEND, children who attend AM or PM only, children who attend 15 hours or 30 hours etc*”

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maths Champions lead at NDNA provides one-to-one support to nurseries monthly, via telephone or video conference, to keep setting on track with the programme. • Case Study/Portfolio review completed by NDNA. This includes all steps settings must undertake to be compliant with the programme, with particular regards to the setting audit and following changes to tracked children's development (optional component).
Who: Programme providers/ implementers	<p>The programme provider is NDNA. NDNA staff will provide MCs and DMCs with training and support.</p> <p>The MC (qualified in childcare to at least level 3) will run the programme within their nursery. With support from NDNA, their responsibilities will include: completion of online training, completing audits of maths teaching in their nursery; creating action plans for improving maths provision across the nursery; and working with other nursery staff to improve their practice and confidence in maths.</p> <p>The DMC (typically a room leader at level 3) will support the MC, to implement change and observe and track children. The DMC may replace the MC if the MC were to leave the setting. Alternatively, a new staff member may be trained up to replace the MC. The role of the DMC may be replaced, as appropriate, should the existing DMC take over the MC role.</p> <p>Practitioners within the setting, with support from the MC and DMC, will implement change and observe and track children with increasing confidence.</p>
How? Mode of delivery	<p>Training for MCs and DMCs will be delivered through online webinars and e-learning modules. NDNA will additionally provide MCs with one-to-one support, mainly through monthly phone calls or WebEx, if they need additional support.</p>
Where? Location of delivery	<p>The programme is available nationally. (For the purpose of this trial, recruitment will be geographically restricted to East and West Midlands, and may be extended to other areas if necessary).</p> <p>As noted above, training for MCs and DMCs will be online and support will be remote.</p>
When and how much? Duration and dosage of the programme	<p>Usually nurseries are supported for a minimum of 12 months. (N.B. in the context of this second effectiveness trial settings will be supported for 7-8 months, see the Study rationale and background section).</p>
Tailoring? Adaptation of the programme	<p>The audit will identify needs, the action plan that MCs put together to improve maths provision across their nursery will be tailored to their setting.</p>

	<p>NDNA will provide tailored one-to-one support to MCs throughout the year and particularly when putting together their action plans. Support will typically be remote (mainly monthly phone calls), but could include visits where necessary. MCs may request additional support phone calls or web calls if necessary.</p> <p>Attendance at optional webinars are dependent on audit and action plans.</p>
<p>How well (planned): Strategies to maximise effective implementation</p>	<p>In addition to the extensive training, resources, and support outlined in the sections above, the following strategies will be employed to maximise effective implementation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The induction, used to gain commitment to programme, will take MCs and DMCs step-by-step through the process and will familiarise them with the early years development zone (online platform); • Handbook; • Usually phone calls rather than email, use of web calls; • Potential for face-to-face visits at nursery setting; to evaluate how revised resources are working in practice. Photographic evidence for future programmes. • No requirement for additional resources, as everything needed to implement the programme would be already available within the setting.

Logic model

A detailed theory of change was originally developed by Evangelou and Mathers (2018) as part of the first Maths Champion’s effectiveness trial. The Logic Model below was developed by the evaluation team on advice from the delivery team. The Logic Model below (see Figure 1) builds on the work of Evangelou and Mathers (2018), whilst considering key changes to the programme since the first Maths Champions effectiveness trial, e.g. removal of BKSB, move from face-to-face to online induction training and inclusion of a DMC. The logic model includes the core components in respect of inputs, outputs, outcomes and potential mediators and moderators.


The causal mechanism of the logic model is that ***increasing early years practitioners’ knowledge of predictive areas of focus, how to teach these and monitor children’s progress will increase their own confidence in teaching maths and improve children’s attainment.***

The Early Intervention Foundation recognise the importance of creating high quality provision via high-quality training (Asmussen et al., 2018). They also identify that between the ages of 3-5 is the ideal time to rectify income related learning gaps in children’s understanding of numbers. Pre-schools that helped children to understand early number concepts led to better outcomes in maths and overall later achievement (Mullis et al., 2012). The inputs of the Maths Champions programme aim to build confidence and professional understanding of teaching early years mathematics among practitioners, which are recognised issues within early years

teaching (All Party Parliamentary Group for Maths & Numeracy, 2014) .These inputs include the MC and DMC participating in relevant training (e.g. online induction, modules and webinars) which aim to equip the practitioners with a comprehensive understanding of the main areas of early years mathematical learning. Alongside this, practitioners implement programme tools including setting level action plan, trackers to monitor pupil progress, and online resources. These underpin the programme's outputs and enable the MC/DMC to evaluate existing practice, disseminate new learning to other practitioners within their setting and change current practice. Together these outputs aim to embed and increase the frequency and quality of maths routines, activities, exchanges and interactions in daily early years practice (Frye et al., 2013). At the child level, this will lead to improvements in children's maths attainment with spill-over effects into language (as practitioners use of mathematical language improve in complexity and frequency in relation to the programme). At the staff level, this will increase staff confidence in teaching early years maths, and improve maths provision and the learning environment. It is anticipated that engagement with the programme may depend on practitioner's qualifications and experience.

Figure 1: Maths Champions II Logic Model

Causal mechanism: *increasing early years practitioners' knowledge of predictive areas of focus, how to teach these and monitor children's progress will increase their own confidence in teaching maths and improve children's attainment.*



Pilot study

Objectives

The objectives of the pilot study are to:

- (1) Explore the most efficient way to deliver the Maths Champions trial within SN settings.

The first Maths Champions effectiveness trial recruited PVI settings only. Within the pilot we aim to determine the most efficient timeline for recruiting SN settings (includes maintained nursery schools or children's centres) and any changes to trial processes that may be required with the inclusion of SN settings.

- (2) Understand if strategies to gain parental consent from children aged 3-4 are practical and effective.

We are aware of the challenges of recruiting children into research trials within the early years. Settings may self-select parents/carers to approach to take part in research, rather than offering the information to all who are eligible. Within the pilot, we will adopt strategies to maximise the number of consented children per setting in comparison to previous trials by requesting settings to provide the evaluation team with the total number of children who are eligible to participate, after which the evaluation team will send pre-made information packs to the nursery and request they distribute one to each parent/carer. Furthermore we will request that settings distribute an anonymous survey to parent/carers to complete and return, to the nursery, which gather perceived facilitators and barriers to recruitment. Data gathered via the anonymous questionnaire will inform recruitment strategies and materials within the effectiveness trial.

- (3) Explore if the intended strategy to reduce attrition is practical, feasible and cost effective.

As discussed earlier, the first Maths Champions evaluation suffered high attrition as many children (19%) left the nursery in-between pre- and post-testing. Within the pilot, we aim to explore strategies to mitigate this, specifically by gaining consent from parent/carers at the outset to provide the evaluation team with their child's new setting destination, should they leave before post-testing, to enable post-testing to be conducted at the child's new setting or other location where possible. As part of this strategy, parents will be contacted prior to the post-testing period to ascertain new setting destination data, if not already provided by the nursery, and the evaluation team will liaise with new settings to try to arrange a suitable testing date. Post-testing will be completed by a research assistant and new settings who accommodate post-testing will receive £100.

- (4) Explore the feasibility of recruiting and assessing a 2-3 year old cohort.

As part of this pilot study, we will aim to gain parent/carer consent to assess a 2-3 year old cohort in order to calculate a correlation between the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ-3) and ASPECTS. This correlation can be utilised within future early years trials using ASQ-3 as a baseline measure. Currently the ASQ-3 is one of the only assessments that can be conducted by early years practitioners in settings to capture development in young children. It is also routine practice for health visitors to work with parents to complete the ASQ-3 when children are around 2 years old, the results of which are logged on an NHS Digital database (Public Health England, 2020, 2018). We aim to explore methods and the feasibility of

collecting ASQ-3 data for 2-3 year olds within the pilot. The eligibility and recruitment process for the 2-3 year old cohort is described below.

- (5) To explore changes made to the Maths Champions programme since the first effectiveness trial and the usefulness and acceptability of these changes within settings.

Design

The pilot study will adopt a non-randomised study design where all participating settings (n=12) receive the Maths Champions programme. Two cohorts of children will be recruited into the pilot: 3-4 year olds (Cohort 1); and 2-year olds (Cohort 2). The recruitment of a 2-3 year old cohort is specific to this pilot study and will not be replicated within the effectiveness trial, further explanation is provided in the Participants section. The pilot study will commence in January 2020, and the findings will inform the main effectiveness trial. Pilot settings will have access to the Maths Champions programme for 12 months.

Participants

Nursery settings

The delivery team will lead on the recruitment of nursery settings for the pilot and this will be supported by the evaluation team. Recruitment will begin in November 2019 and recruitment activities will include: emails to settings and advertisements (e.g. in newsletters to NDNA members, information on the NDNA website, press releases, flyers distributed at events, and through social media).

Nursery setting eligibility criteria are as follows:

- PVI providers based on non-domestic premises, maintained nursery schools or children's centres, or government funded infant or primary school-based nursery classes (SN) providing nursery provision for 3 and 4 year olds (who will begin reception in September 2020).
- Settings who have a minimum of 15 children in the cohort who will begin reception in September 2020
- Settings that are not currently using the NDNA Maths Champions programme and have not done so in the past.
- Settings who are not currently taking part in the evaluation of the Department for Education's Early Years Professional Development Programme (see: https://www.suffolklearning.co.uk/suffolklearning_images/users/Early_Years_Team_CYP//2019-10-10-EYPDPInformationforsettings.pdf).
- Settings that agree to all requirements outlined in the Information for Nurseries and Memorandum of Understanding document.

Although it is not to be considered an essential requirement, we would encourage participation from settings providing provision for 2 year olds (who will start reception in September 2021 or September 2022) in order to explore the feasibility of recruiting and assessing this cohort.

Settings will receive a study Information Sheet and Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) which provides full details relating to a setting's involvement within the trial. Settings willing to participate are to return a completed and signed MoU to the delivery team who will forward on to the evaluation team. All nursery settings participating in the pilot will receive £250 (bank transfer from the delivery team) following parent/carer recruitment, prior to pre-testing. This thank you payment should allow nursery staff time to be freed up for baseline testing. All

nurseries will also receive £250 (bank transfer from the delivery team) after completing the outcome testing for cohort 1 in June 2020.

Children

Child eligibility criteria are as follows:

Cohort 1:

- Children, aged 3 to 4 years, who are due to start reception class in school in September 2020.
- Children who attend nursery for a minimum of 15 hours per week.
- Children whose parents/carers anticipate they will remain at the nursery for the duration of the pilot study (June 2020).

Cohort 2:

- Children aged 2 by 1st January 2020 or aged 3, who are due to start reception class in school in September 2021 or September 2022.
- Children who attend nursery for a minimum of 15 hours per week.
- Children whose parents/carers anticipate they will remain at the nursery for the duration of the pilot study (February 2021).

In January 2020, PVI and SN settings recruited to participate in the pilot will be asked to provide the number of children in their setting who meet all eligibility criteria. The evaluation team will then provide each setting with parent/carer information sheets and consent forms and ask for them to be distributed to the parents/carers of all eligible children at the setting. Settings will be required to obtain consent from at least 10 parents/carers of 3-4 year olds for their child to participate in the evaluation.

Parents/carers will be required to provide consent for their child to participate in the pilot, including the baseline and outcome testing, by completing a Parent/Carer Consent Form. On the consent form, parents/carers will be requested to consent for their child's nursery setting to provide the evaluation team with data regarding their child, including name, date of birth, gender, home postcode, Early Years Pupil Premium status, FEEE from 2 years of age, attendance at nursery per week, assessment reports, as well as their new setting destination if they leave before the outcome testing. Additionally, parents/carers will be asked to consent to be contacted for new setting destination data for their child should it be unavailable from the nursery.

Outcome measures

Cohort 1: The Assessment Profile on Entry for Children and Toddlers (ASPECTS), developed by the Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring (CEM) and hosted by Cambridge Assessment, will be used to assess children aged 3-4. Baseline assessments will be completed by a setting's own nominated early years practitioner, who will receive training from the evaluation team in February/March 2020. Trained research assistants will visit each setting to complete outcome assessments with the same children in June/July 2020. ASPECTS is discussed in detail in the effectiveness trial Outcome measures section.

Cohort 2: The Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ-3) will be used to capture the skills and development of children aged 2-3 years old. The domains of the ASQ-3 include: communication, gross motor, fine motor, problem-solving and adaptive skills. A score is

assigned to each development. Within any screened domain, less than two standard deviations below the mean area score is considered a positive screen. The ASQ-3 is validated and standardised and has been reported to be accurate in detecting problems in healthy children. The ASQ-3 is usually completed by parents, but can be used by early years practitioners, taking no longer than 15 minutes to complete. Here, early years practitioners will be requested to complete the ASQ-3 for participating children early 2020. Settings will be provided with ASQ-3 training and materials by the evaluation team, and completed ASQ-3 questionnaires were to be returned via courier, arranged by the evaluation team. The same children will complete ASPECTS (as detailed above) with a Research Assistant once, in February 2021.

Analysis

Cohort 1: The purpose of conducting baseline and post-testing with this cohort is to pilot processes and procedures, as detailed above, prior to implementation within the effectiveness trial. Therefore, no formal analysis of ASPECTS will be undertaken for cohort 1; descriptive data will be provided relating to baseline and outcome assessment completion rates.

Cohort 2: ASQ-3 scores at 2 years old and ASPECTS scores at 3-4 years old will be summarised descriptively and the Pearson's correlation between the two will be presented with a 95% confidence interval.

Pilot implementation and process evaluation

The pilot implementation and process evaluation (IPE) has been designed to ensure adherence to the key principles for the design, conduct and reporting of the impact evaluation. The pilot will address the descriptive and experiential aspects of the pilot research questions, listed below. It will complement the quantified outcomes for the pilot impact evaluation and will be a combination of cross-sectional and longitudinal designs. The impact evaluation and IPE are fully integrated. Measures of compliance, fidelity and usual practice have been included in the impact evaluation, and these data will be complemented by pilot IPE data which will explain reasons underpinning levels of compliance and levels of fidelity within the context of usual practice.

The cross-sectional design will explore the perceptions and experiences of key stakeholders at the beginning of the pilot to provide snapshot descriptive data on perceptions about recruitment and towards the end of the pilot study period to provide snapshot descriptive data on perceptions about: barriers and facilitators to recruitment and retention; feasibility and acceptability of MC implementation and delivery; and acceptability and feasibility of undertaking the baseline and outcome assessments. Data collection will comprise a series of interviews/focus groups with key stakeholders: MCs, DMCs and NDNA staff. Four nursery settings (two PVI, two SN) will form the sample for the pilot IPE interviews.

The longitudinal design will provide quantified data on access to e-learning modules over the period of the pilot to measure engagement with the online training resources.

The IPE will link the perceptions of the key stakeholders to key process outcomes of the logic model to provide evidence of promise, see Table 3.

Pilot IPE Research Questions

Research Question (RQ) 1: What is the feasibility of evaluating MC within PVI and school nursery settings?

- 1.1. Is the intended timeline for recruiting PVI and school nursery (SN) settings feasible?
- 1.2. Are intended strategies to improve setting and child recruitment practical?
- 1.3. Is the intended strategy to reduce attrition practical and feasible?
- 1.4. Are intended outcome measures for pre- and post-tests effective and appropriate in terms of cost, administration and evaluation?
- 1.5. Is the content of baseline and endpoint surveys suitable for capturing necessary data?

RQ 2: What are the barriers to evaluating the MC programme in the pilot trial?

- 2.1. What are the barriers to recruiting children in the pilot study for pre- and post-tests?
- 2.2. How has COVID-19 impacted on the proposed delivery of the evaluation methods and what might the impact be of this for the effectiveness trial?

RQ 3: What is the feasibility of delivering MC within PVI and school nursery settings?

- 3.1. To what extent can NDNA deliver the MC programme and the support to MCs and DMCs as intended in the time allotted?

RQ 4: Is the MC programme implemented with fidelity within PVI and school nursery settings?

- 4.1. Are nominated staff (MCs, DMCs) accessing the available E-learning modules and the support as specified in the programme plan?
- 4.2. How effective and appropriate are the level of support and training (e.g. content, coverage, dosage and duration) for MCs and DMCs?
- 4.3. How is the MC programme disseminated within the nurseries to other staff?
- 4.4. To what extent do the MCs, DMCs and practitioners implement the MC programme into classroom practice?

RQ 5: What are the different stakeholders' viewpoints on the MC programme?

- 5.1. What are the perceived impacts of MC?
- 5.2. What is the perceived role of DMCs?
- 5.3. What are the perceived impacts of DMCs?

RQ 6: What are the barriers to delivering the MC programme in the pilot trial?

- 6.1. What are the barriers for MCs and DMCs to engage with induction and E-learning modules?
- 6.2. What are the barriers for MCs, DMCs and practitioners to implement MC in their classroom practice?
- 6.3. What are the barriers for MCs to disseminate MC to other staff in the classroom?

RQ 7: What appear to be the necessary conditions for the successful delivery of MC programme?

- 7.1. What are the necessary conditions for successful recruitment of settings, families and children?
- 7.2. What are the necessary conditions for MCs and DMCs to engage with the training and E-learning modules and the monthly one to one support?

7.3. What are the necessary conditions for practitioners to implement MC into practice?

7.4. What are the necessary conditions for reducing the attrition in the pilot trial?

RQ 8: How could the MC programme be improved?

RQ 9: How could the delivery of the MC programme be improved?

RQ 10: How has the delivery of the MC programme been impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic?

Table 3: IPE Pilot Methods Overview

Feature	Research Method	Data collection methods	Participants/ data sources (type, number)	Research questions addressed	Implementation/ logic model relevance
Recruitment Delivering MC to PVI and SN settings	Cross-sectional	Semi-structured interview/ focus group	NDNA staff (n = 2)	RQ 1: 1.1; RQ 3: 3.1; RQ 4: 4.1 RQ 6: 6.1; RQ 7: 7.1; RQ 8; RQ 9	Feasibility; Fidelity; Context
Recruitment Delivering MC to PVI and SN settings	Cross-sectional	Semi-structured interview/ focus group	MCs (PVI n = 2; SN n = 2)	RQ 4: 4.2, 4.3, 4.4; RQ 6: 6.1, 6.2; RQ 7: 7.2; RQ 8; RQ 9	Fidelity; Context
Recruitment Delivering MC to PVI and SN settings	Cross-sectional	Semi-structured interview/ focus group	DMCs (PVI n = 2; SN n = 2)	RQ 4: 4.2, 4.3, 4.4; RQ 5: 5.1, 5.2; RQ 6: 6.1, 6.2; RQ 7: 7.2; RQ 8; RQ 9	Fidelity; Context
Recruitment Delivering MC to PVI and SN settings	Cross-sectional	Semi-structured interview/ focus group	Other practitioners (PVI n = 2; SN n = 2)	RQ 4: 4.3, 4.4; RQ 5: 5.2; RQ 6: 6.2; RQ 7: 7.3; RQ 8; RQ 9	Fidelity; Context
Role of DMC and other MC content change(s)	Cross-sectional	Semi-structured Interview/ focus group	MCs (PVI n = 2; SN n = 2)	RQ 5: 5.2, 5.3	Process outcomes (confidence and competence)
	Cross-sectional	Semi-structured interview/ focus group	DMCs (PVI n = 2; SN n = 2)	RQ 5: 5.2	Process outcomes (confidence and competence)

	Cross-sectional	Semi-structured interview/focus group	Other practitioners (PVI n = 2; SN n = 2)	RQ 5: 5.2, 5.3	Process outcomes (confidence and competence)
Pilot trial data collection processes	Cross-sectional	Semi-structured interview/focus group	Sample setting staff (n = 4)	RQ 1: 1.4; RQ 4: 4.4; RQ 6: 6.2; RQ 2: 2.1; RQ 7: 7.3	Feasibility; Fidelity
			NADA staff (n = 2)	RQ 5: 5.1, 5.3	Compliance;
	Longitudinal design	E-learning logs data	All settings (n = 12)	RQ 1: 1.2, 1.3, 1.4; RQ 2: 2.1; RQ 7: 7.4	Compliance; Context
Parental perceptions	Cross-sectional survey	Paper or Online surveys	Parents of eligible children in all settings (n = 12) are invited to complete the survey anonymously	RQ 1: 1.2, 1.3; RQ 2: 2.1; RQ 7: 7.1	Context; Feasibility
Baseline and end-point setting usual practice surveys	Cross-sectional (start and end of pilot)	Paper or online	Manager/head teacher in all control and intervention settings	RQ1.5	Feasibility; context
COVID-19	Cross-sectional	Semi-structure interview/focus groups	All participants in all interviews/focus groups	RQ 10 RQ 2.2	Perceived impact of COVID-19 pandemic

Data collection

Data collection will use a combination of semi-structured interviews/focus group(s) (conducted using video conferencing software e.g. using Zoom or telephone), e-learning logs data and on-line and paper surveys. All data collection tools will be pre-specified and registered with the Ethics Committee providing ethical approval, thus ensuring transparency of the methods.

Analysis

IPE data will be analysed using a combination of inductive and deductive analyses. Emerging patterns in the data will be grouped thematically according to the research questions. Results will be synthesised from the themes and presented as answers to each pilot IPE research question.

Summary of changes to the pilot trial design as a result of COVID-19

Due to COVID-19, the pilot study was paused in March 2020 and will resume in October 2020. Participating settings will receive another induction webinar and commence the MC

programme. Settings will have access to the Maths Champions programme for 12 months, until September 2021. As a result, there will be a number of changes to the pilot study:

- Parents/carers of Cohort 1 children will not be asked to complete a questionnaire to gather perceived facilitators and barriers to recruitment.
- Cohort 1 will not complete outcome testing as they will have left the setting to start school by the time the pilot resumes in October 2020.
- Practitioners will not be asked to complete the ASQ-3 with Cohort 2 (children aged 2-3 years old) when the pilot restarts, settings will be asked if they routinely complete ASQ-3 and, if so, to provide any ASQ-3 data they already have for participating 2-3 year olds, to gain information about routine use of the ASQ-3 and the feasibility of collecting this data from settings.
- Cohort 2 children will be followed-up to explore the feasibility of completing ASPECTS in their current or new setting in February 2021. Doing so allows us to develop the strategy for locating and assessing children in a new setting which will be useful for reducing attrition in the effectiveness trial (a process originally planned to take place with children in Cohort 1 in the pilot study). It may not be possible for Cohort 2 outcome assessments to be carried out as planned in February 2021 (depending on restrictions in place due to COVID-19) but it allows the evaluation team the opportunity to liaise with current and new settings to explore how receptive they would be for a research assistant to visit and complete post-testing with the participating child, with the view of offering a £100 thank you payment for accommodating post-testing. It also offers the opportunity to gauge settings' perspectives on measures that may need to be put in place due to COVID-19 to carry out assessments in settings, prior to the effectiveness trial starting. It is important to note that the proportion of children who have moved settings may not be representative of what would be normally expected. This is because some settings closed during the peak of the pandemic for a period of time whilst others remained open to children of keyworkers. Subsequently, participating children of keyworkers may have needed to relocate settings, meaning a greater proportion of children may have moved settings, than in usual circumstances.
- IPE interviews/focus groups will likely reduce in number and be conducted online via zoom and/or TEAMS, in line with the capacity of participating settings.

A revised timeline is provided in a Table 9.

Effectiveness trial impact evaluation

Research questions

RQ 1. What is the impact of the Maths Champions programme, in comparison to usual early years setting provision, on the maths skills of pre-school children aged 3-4? [Primary outcome]

RQ 2. How effective is the Maths Champions programme at improving nursery practitioners' confidence in supporting children's maths development in comparison to usual early years setting provision? [Secondary outcome 1]

RQ 3. What is the impact of the Maths Champions programme, in comparison to usual early years setting provision, on the development of language (reading and phonological awareness) of pre-school children aged 3-4? [Secondary outcome 2]

RQ 4. What is the feasibility of accessing ASQ-3 data completed when children were 2 years old from NHS digital? and how does this data correlate to maths and language development at 3 and 4 years old (measured using ASPECTS).

Design

Table 4: Trial design

Trial design, including number of arms	Two-armed cluster randomised controlled trial
Unit of randomisation	Nursery setting
Minimisation factors	<p>Nursery type (2 levels: PVI; SN and maintained settings);</p> <p>Nursery size (2 levels: < median number of children leaving for primary school in 2022 at participating settings; ≥ median number of children leaving for primary school in 2022 at participating settings);</p> <p>Number of staff at the nursery, holding a degree qualification in early years (2 levels: 0 graduates; ≥ 1 graduate)</p>
Primary outcome	<p>variable</p> <p>measure (instrument, scale, source)</p> <p>Child Maths attainment after 7 months intervention exposure</p> <p>ASPECTS maths attainment score, 0-29, Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring (CEM) at Cambridge Assessment</p>
Secondary outcome(s)	<p>variable(s)</p> <p>measure(s) (instrument, scale, source)</p> <p>Practitioner confidence (in teaching children maths) after 7 months intervention exposure;</p> <p>Child Language attainment after 7 months intervention exposure;</p> <p>Child attainment at the end of Reception year at school</p> <p>Child development at 2 years old and its correlation to child development at 3 and 4 years old</p> <p>Practitioner confidence: Maths. Adapted 'Early Math Beliefs and Confidence Survey' by Chen <i>et al.</i> (2014). Only the adapted subscale Confidence in helping nursery aged children learn maths</p> <p>ASPECTS Language (reading and phonological awareness) score, 0-53, CEM at Cambridge Assessment.</p> <p>Early Years Foundation Stage Profile data (completed at the end of Reception) collected from National Pupil Database.</p>

		Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ-3) at 2 years old, data gathered via NHS digital and its correlation to ASPECTS (described above).
Baseline for primary outcome	variable	Child maths attainment
	measure (instrument, scale, source)	ASPECTS maths attainment score, 0-29, Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring at Cambridge Assessment
Baseline for secondary outcome	variable	Child Language attainment
	measure (instrument, scale, source)	ASPECTS Language (reading and phonological awareness) score, 0-53, CEM at Cambridge Assessment

Randomisation

A statistician at York Trials Unit (YTU), who is not involved in nursery recruitment, will randomise nursery settings to either the intervention or control arm, using a 1:1 allocation ratio and minimisation to ensure balance across the trial arms on nursery type, nursery size, and the number of graduate staff (see Table 4 for the levels of each minimisation factor). A dedicated computer program, MinimPy (Saghaei and Saghaei, 2011), will be used for randomisation. Nursery settings allocated to the intervention arm will receive the NDNA Maths Champions programme, whereas settings allocated to the control arm will continue with usual nursery provision. The trial statistician will not be blind to group allocation.

Settings will be randomised after child recruitment and baseline data collection has been completed. Randomisation may be carried out in batches to avoid delays in programme induction and to maximise programme delivery for as many settings as possible. All settings will be informed of their random allocation via a letter emailed to the setting contact.

Participants

Nursery settings

The delivery team will lead on the recruitment of nursery settings, supported by the evaluation team. NDNA will cease promotion and marketing of the Maths Champions programme to new settings not taking part in the trial, to ensure capacity to support the trial. Recruitment began in January 2020, but was paused between March and December 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic and will recommence in January 2021. Planned recruitment strategies include: a dedicated page on NDNA's website for the trial; emails to settings in recruitment areas; marketing through social media channels; promotion via sector press and public relations work; promotion at NDNA member events in targeted recruitment areas and at NDNA's annual conference; working with contacts in targeted local authorities and providing them with recruitment materials to push at a local level; and working with Early Education to promote the trial.

Nursery setting eligibility criteria are as follows:

- PVI providers based on non-domestic premises, maintained nursery schools or children's centres, or government funded infant or primary school-based nursery classes (SN) providing nursery provision for 3 and 4 year olds (who will begin reception in September 2022).

- Settings who have a minimum of 15 children aged 3-4 year olds, who will attend the setting for a minimum of 15 hours a week and are due to begin reception in September 2022.
- Settings that are not currently using the NDNA Maths Champions programme and have not done so in the past.
- Settings who are not currently taking part in the evaluation of the Department for Education's Early Years Professional Development Programme (see: https://www.suffolklearning.co.uk/suffolklearning_images/users/Early_Years_Team_CYP//2019-10-10-EYPDPInformationforsettings.pdf) or any other Early Years trial funded by the EEF or similar funder.
- Settings that agree to all requirements outlined in the Information for Nurseries and Memorandum of Understanding document, Data Sharing Agreement (DSA) and CEM's End User Licence Agreement (EULA).
- Only one participating setting per nursery chain or academy trust.
- Settings that accept government childcare subsidies (i.e. 30 hours free childcare for 3-4 year olds).
- Settings located in England

The recruitment areas for this trial will be focussed on the East Midlands and West Midlands, as at the time of set-up no other EEF trials are currently recruiting or running in these areas, but nurseries from others areas may be considered for participation. Approximately 138 nursery settings (69 in each of the intervention and control arms; approximately 96 PVI and 42 SN settings, soft targets) will be recruited to take part in this trial. This represents 70% PVI and 30% SN and is in line with national provision as, excluding childminders, SN settings make up 27% of early years providers in England (Department for Education, 2019a).

Settings will receive a study Information Sheet and Memorandum of Understanding (MoU), which provides full details relating to a setting's involvement within the trial. Settings willing to participate are to return a completed and signed MoU, DSA issued by the University of York and an EULA for use of CEM's ASPECTS assessments before being recruited into the trial. Settings will be recruited on a first come first served basis, with location taken into consideration when following up with settings. Settings that return trial paperwork after 138 settings have been recruited will be placed on a reserve list and called upon should a recruited setting drop-out or not gain the minimum 10 parent/carer consent forms prior to randomisation. Location may be considered when selecting reserve settings or when selecting which setting from a nursery chain or academy trust to include in the trial, should more than one from the same nursery chain or academy trust return a signed MOU, DSA and EULA. In these cases, the preference would be to recruit settings that are closer to other participating settings, as this will be advantageous when scheduling outcome testing.

All nursery settings (i.e. both intervention and control) will receive £250 (bank transfer from the delivery team) after parent/carer recruitment, before pre-testing. This thank you payment should allow nursery staff time to be freed up for baseline testing. All nurseries will also receive £250 (bank transfer from the delivery team) after completing the outcome testing.

Children

Child eligibility criteria are as follows:

- Children aged 3 to 4 years (or who will turn 3 before September 2021), who are due to start reception class in school in September 2022.

- Children who attend/will attend nursery for a minimum of 15 hours per week term time from September 2021.
- Children whose parents/carers anticipate they will remain at the nursery (i.e. they do not foresee they will leave the nursery) for the duration of the trial (until June 2022).
- Children who complete the trial pre-test.

Children are not eligible to take part in the trial if practitioners consider them to have significant Special Educational Needs or Disabilities or English as an Additional Language where an extreme language barrier exists which would prevent them from accessing the ASPECTS assessment and/or would be distressed through completing the assessment.

In the summer term of 2021, recruited PVI and SN settings will be asked to provide the number of children in their setting who meet the first three eligibility criteria. The evaluation team will provide each setting with a PDF copy of the Parent/Carer Information Sheet and a link for parents/carers to securely complete a consent form online (eConsent) using Qualtrics survey software. Settings will be requested to email these to the parent/carers of all eligible children. Settings will be encouraged to begin the parent/carer consent process over summer prior to the start of the academic year, contacting parents/carers of children who are on the school's pre-registration lists via email. For settings/schools where this does not take place, they will be requested to gather parent/carer consent during the first two weeks of Autumn term 2021. The ET will send settings paper copies of the Parent/Carer Information Sheet and the Parent/Carer Consent Form should they request them (e.g. if they think any parents/carers would prefer to receive paper copies).

As detailed above, setting level eligibility criteria requires settings to have at least 15 children who meet the eligibility criteria. Settings are then required to obtain consent from at least 10 parents/carers agreeing for their child to participate in the evaluation. Settings must receive parent/carer consent for a minimum of 10 children to continue their participation in the trial. Settings who recruit between 7 and 9 children will be placed in 'reserve' and will progress to participation in the trial in the event that the desired level of recruitment of settings/children is not met and/or saturation within setting-level recruitment is met.

Parents/carers will be required to provide consent for their child to participate in the evaluation, including the baseline and outcome testing, by completing the Parent/Carer Consent Form (paper version or eConsent). On the consent form, parents/carers will be requested to consent for their child's nursery setting to provide the evaluation team with data regarding their child as outlined in the information sheet (including name, date of birth, gender, home postcode, Early Years Pupil Premium status, FEEE from 2 years of age, attendance at nursery per week, if English is an additional language, ASPECTS assessment reports, child's school destination, as well as their new setting destination if they leave before the outcome testing, and, if available, Early Years Foundation Stage check and ASQ-3 data completed when children are approximately 2 years old). Additionally, parents/carers will be asked to consent to be contacted for these details about their child should their child's setting be unable to provide these, and also to consent for long-term tracking of their child's educational outcomes through the National Pupil Database for the purposes of the evaluation. Parents/carers will be able to download a copy of their eConsent form if completed via Qualtrics for their own records. Alternatively, parents/carers will receive two copies of the paper consent form; one to complete and return to the setting and one to keep for their own records. Parents/carers must indicate their willingness for the child to participate by ticking or typing/writing their initials against all statements listed on the consent form, and providing necessary signatory (for the eConsent form parents/carers will be asked to type their name to show that they are signing the form).

In cases where settings gain consent from more than 10 parents/carers, then a sample of 10 children will be selected for baseline and outcome testing. Where possible, we want to include at least one EYPP child per setting to have adequate power to conduct analyses in the EYPP subgroup (see the Sample size calculations section below). Therefore, we will randomly select up to three eligible children with EYPP status (or all of them if there are three or less eligible; including more than one, where this is possible, which allows for some attrition at follow-up), then randomly sample from the remaining, unselected children (EYPP and non-EYPP) to make up the 10.

On the main consent form (outlined above), we will also ask parents/carers to consent to the setting providing the evaluation team with ASQ-3 data should they hold it.

Sample size calculations

We make the following assumptions: a setting-level intra cluster correlation of 0.17; 10 children per setting with a baseline and outcome testing correlation of 0.59; and 1:1 allocation at nursery setting level. Based on 138 nurseries (i.e.1380 children), we would have 80% power to show an effect size of 0.20 of a standard deviation between the control and the intervention groups, allowing for 15% attrition at the child level.

We will conduct a subgroup analysis for the primary outcome in EYPP pupils. Owing to the proposed sampling strategy of eligible children to participate in the trial, we hope to have at least one EYPP pupil from each setting included in this analysis. If most nurseries only have one EYPP pupil who contributes to this analysis, then the analysis for this will be conducted at the setting level, aggregating child outcomes by taking the mean for eligible EYPP children in that setting. Assuming a baseline and outcome testing correlation of 0.59 (no design effect assumed since at setting-level), with 138 nurseries we would have 80% power to show an effect size of 0.38 of a standard deviation between the control and the intervention groups in the EYPP subgroup.

If, however, more than half the settings have two or more eligible EYPP pupils that contribute to the analysis and the average number per setting is ≥ 2 , we may conduct this analysis at the pupil-level, and account for the clustering by setting. Assuming an ICC of 0.17; an average of 2 children per setting with a baseline and outcome testing correlation of 0.59; and 1:1 allocation at setting level, we would have 80% power to show an effect size of approximately 0.30 of a standard deviation between the control and the intervention groups in the EYPP subgroup.

Table 5: Sample size calculations

		OVERALL	EYPP
Minimum Detectable Effect Size (MDES)		0.20	0.30-0.38
Pre-test/ post-test correlations	level 1 (child)	0.59	0.59
	level 2 (nursery)	0.59	N/A
Intracluster correlations (ICCs)	level 2 (nursery)	0.17	N/A/0.17
Alpha		0.05	0.05
Power		0.8	0.8
One-sided or two-sided?		Two	Two

		OVERALL	EYPP
Average cluster size		10	1/2
Number of nurseries	Intervention	69	69/138
	Control	69	69/138
	Total	138	138/276
Number of children	Intervention	690	69
	Control	690	69
	Total	1380	138

EYPP = Early Years Pupil Premium

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

The Assessment Profile on Entry for Children and Toddlers (ASPECTS), developed by the Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring (CEM) and hosted by Cambridge Assessment, will be the primary baseline and outcome measure. ASPECTS has been specially designed for children aged 3-5 years old (36-60 months) and is aligned with the crucial elements of the EYFS Prime and Specific areas of Learning and Development. Early maths skills that are assessed include digit identification, counting, shapes, number problems, and ideas about maths; each of these areas are targeted by the Maths Champions programme, which provides a holistic approach to improving maths attainment. The early maths skills component of ASPECTS formed the primary outcome measure within the first Maths Champions trial (Robinson-Smith *et al.* 2018) which will allow a comparison of results. Participating children will be assessed using ASPECTS at baseline at the start of the 2021-22 academic year (before their nursery is randomised) and again at the end of the 2021-22 academic year for outcome testing. We aim to assess 10 children, for whom parent/carer consent is received, at baseline and again at the outcome time point. The evaluation team will liaise with nursery settings to arrange convenient times for the baseline and outcome testing. Where possible, baseline assessment dates will be booked in advance prior to the start of the 2021-22 academic year.

ASPECTS is a child-friendly, computer-based assessment, designed to be used on a one-to-one basis with children aged 3-4 years. The programme asks children to complete a series of activities and an adult submits the child's responses on the computer. At first, the child is asked to write their name and this is scored by the practitioner against examples. The software then plays an audio recording of a story to the child and asks a number of questions. While all children hear the same story, ASPECTS adopts an adaptive design whereby the questions asked are dependent on the child's responses (e.g. more challenging questions are provided when a child answers a question correctly). ASPECTS uses Rasch measurement to estimate the item difficulties. All items are categorised and more difficult items from each category are no longer presented once the child has made a certain number of mistakes in that category. The early maths subscales of the measure, which take approximately 10-12 minutes per child, will be used at baseline and outcome testing. The maths score (range 0 to 29) will be the primary baseline and outcome measure, a higher score indicates greater attainment.

At baseline, where possible, a practitioner/teacher from within each nursery who is familiar with the children will be asked to complete ASPECTS with participating children. There is provision for a research assistant to complete baseline assessments in some participating

nurseries who are unable to complete assessments within the agreed timeframe. To account for such instances, we will conduct a sensitivity analysis for the primary outcome by including a covariate in the model that indicates whether the child was tested at baseline by a research assistant or a practitioner/teacher; this will account for any differences hypothetically caused by type of assessor at baseline. As children will be very young at baseline (typically 3 years), having a familiar adult administer the assessment with children should help them to perform to the best of their ability and minimise missing data. At least one practitioner per nursery will receive training in how to set-up and administer ASPECTS via an online, pre-recorded webinar delivered by the evaluation team lasting no longer than 20 minutes.

At the time of outcome testing, as far as possible, ASPECTS will be administered in all settings by independent, blinded, research assistants (RAs), who will have received training from the evaluation team. All research assistants will have an enhanced DBS check and undergo relevant safeguarding and data protection training. Where a blinded RA is not available (e.g. due to illness), post-test data may be collected by an unblinded, independent assessor (e.g., a member of the YTU research team), or a practitioner/teacher within the setting. This will reduce attrition, but may impact on the internal validity of the trial as the possibility of bias is introduced (e.g., unblinded practitioners might be inclined to help or administer the post-test differently in a way that benefits children in the treatment/control group). While we expect this to affect very few cases, the impact of the ASPECTS being administered by someone other than a blinded RA will also be investigated through a sensitivity analysis. We will advise settings that a child's key worker or familiar staff member should be available to chaperone the assessment conducted by the research assistant to ensure the child feels comfortable. In cases where children have moved to a new setting before outcome assessment, we will seek to follow up such children and assess in new settings (this will include gaining agreement from new settings). The proportion of children for whom this strategy is employed will depend on the numbers of children identified as having moved to new settings. The aim will be to ensure an adequate level of attrition overall, weighed with the cost implications of assessing in new settings. New settings who facilitate the outcome assessment will receive £100.

In the event RAs are unable to attend settings to complete baseline and/or outcome due to continuing COVID-19 restrictions, the protocol will be updated with a revised testing strategy.

Secondary outcomes

The literacy/language score from ASPECTS, carried out at baseline and outcome time-points, will be a secondary outcome. Early literacy skills that are assessed include reading and phonological awareness. This is scored from 0 to 53, where a higher score indicates greater attainment. The Maths Champions programme aims to increase the frequency of use of maths terminology between practitioners and children in all interactions; therefore there is potential for intervention spill-over effects in the domain of literacy/language. The literacy/language component of ASPECTS formed a secondary outcome measure within the first Maths Champions trial (Robinson-Smith et al., 2018) which will allow a comparison of results.

Practitioner confidence (in teaching children maths), assessed using a short online survey adapted from Chen et al. (2014), will be a secondary outcome. Increasing practitioner's confidence in using maths is a key focus of the Maths Champions programme. We will request for the survey to be completed by all practitioners in each setting who work with children aged 3 years or older, including the nominated MC and DMC in intervention settings and comparable staff in control settings. The survey will be completed at post-intervention only. The original survey consists of three subscales: Beliefs about Nursery Aged Children and

Maths (8 items); Confidence in Helping Nursery Aged Children Learn Maths (11 items); and Confidence in Own Maths Abilities (9 items). However only the second subscale: Confidence in Helping Nursery Aged Children Learn Maths (11 items) will be used. Practitioners will be asked to rate their agreement with each item on a Likert scale, from strongly disagree to strongly agree. Each item is scored from 1 to 5. Scores for items in the subscale will be summed to produce a summary score (Confidence in Helping Nursery Aged Children Learn Maths: scored from 11 to 55). Practitioner confidence using the Chen et al. survey formed a secondary outcome measure within the first Maths Champions trial (Robinson-Smith et al., 2018), which will allow a comparison of results.

The Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ-3) is used to capture the skills and development of children at 2 years old. The domains of the ASQ-3 include: communication, gross motor, fine motor, problem-solving and adaptive skills. A score is assigned to each development domain. Within any screened domain, less than two standard deviations below the mean area score is considered a positive screen. The ASQ-3 is validated and standardised and has been reported to be accurate in detecting problems in healthy children. The ASQ-3 is used routinely by health visitors who request parents complete the questionnaire as part of a health check when their child is 2 years old, taking no longer than 15 minutes to complete. The data from the questionnaire is stored, and accessed, via NHS digital (Public Health England, 2020, 2018). The evaluation team will seek parental consent to access participating children's ASQ-3 scores from NIHS Digital, assess the feasibility and coverage of ASQ-3 data held within NHS Digital and determine if a correlation exists between ASQ-3 scores at 2 years old and ASPETS scores at 3 and 4 years old which are being collected as part of the effectiveness trial.

Compliance

Compliance and fidelity will be measured at the nursery setting level. Each setting in the intervention arm will be assessed for their implementation fidelity and compliance (the extent to which the critical ingredients of the Maths Champions programme are delivered to, received and implemented by the target participants). This will be measured by NDNA who will rate each setting on compulsory and optional aspects of the programme.

NDNA will rate each setting on aspects of the programme on a scale of: 2 = very engaged ('green'), 1 = partially engaged ('amber'), and 0 = not engaged ('red'). This will result in possible scores of 0-16 for core components, with an additional 12 points for optional components. The definitions are outlined in Table 6.

Current EEF guidance for IPE evaluations (Education Endowment Foundation, 2019a) defines compliance and fidelity in the following way:

Compliance: the extent to which the critical ingredients of the programme are delivered to and/ or received by the target participants.

Fidelity: the degree to which the programme is delivered as intended or prescribed.

For the purposes of this rating scale, in this particular trial, we are not differentiating between compliance and fidelity, but seeking to capture information on both compliance and fidelity within one rating scale. Other elements of the IPE will seek to comment and explore compliance and fidelity as separate constructs where possible.

Table 6: Compulsory/Optional Components Compliance and Fidelity Rating

Criteria	Core/ Optional	Description	RAG rating
Identification of suitable Maths Champion (MC; graduate or Level 3 practitioner)	Core	MC with Level 3 or graduate qualifications	Green = 2
		MC identified with <Level 3 qualifications	Amber = 1
		MC with no level 3 qualifications or no MC identified	Red = 0
Identification of suitable Deputy Maths Champion (DMC; qualified to at least Level 3)	Core	DMC with Level 3 qualifications or higher	Green = 2
		DMC with no level 3 qualifications	Amber = 1
		No DMC identified	Red = 0
MC and DMC complete induction	Core	MC and DMC complete induction	Green = 2
		Only MC or DMC complete induction	Amber = 1
		Neither MC or DMC complete induction	Red = 0
Completion by the MC of 2 courses: Developing Mathematical Confidence in the Early Years: the big ideas of number sense; Developing Mathematical thinking in the Early Years: shape space, measures and pattern – including Characteristics of Effective Learning and sustained, shared thinking.	Core	Both completed	Green = 2
		One completed	Amber = 1
		Neither completed	Red = 0
Use of audit tool	Core	Audit Tool used and audit completed	Green = 2
		Audit Tool used but audit not completed	Amber = 1
		Audit Tool not used	Red = 0
Completion and continued use of an action plan	Core	Action plan done and used as working document throughout	Green = 2
		Action plan done, started to be used but then not implemented	Amber = 1
		Action plan not done/not used	Red = 0

Use of up to 10 mandatory resources provided through online platform: 3-4 year olds: Build a maze, Number hunt, Delivering the post, Mud kitchen, Cars down a ramp, Patterns, Construction, Tidy up time, Snack time, Outdoor games	Core	Use of at least 8 mandatory resources	Green = 2
		Use of 5-7 mandatory resources	Amber = 1
		Use of 4 or less mandatory resources	Red = 0
Engagement with one-to-one support provided by NDNA	Core	Setting always receptive to support from NDNA	Green = 2
		Setting sometimes receptive to support from NDNA	Amber = 1
		Setting never receptive to support from NDNA	Red = 0
Possible Total Score Core Components			16
Track and Monitor development of 6 children on termly basis.	Optional	All done and evidence uploaded	Green = 2
		Some done but needed support	Amber = 1
		None done	Red = 0
Monthly webinars	Optional	Attend two or more	Green = 2
		Attend one	Amber = 1
		Attend none	Red = 0
Completion by the DMC 2 courses: Developing Mathematical Confidence in the Early Years: the big ideas of number sense; Developing Mathematical thinking in the Early Years: shape space, measures and pattern – including Characteristics of Effective Learning and sustained, shared thinking.	Optional	Both completed	Green = 2
		One completed	Amber = 1
		Neither completed	Red = 0
Completion by MC/DMC of Coaching as an Educational Lead course (if required)	Optional	Not required (previous coaching accessed) or both MC and DMC complete	Green = 2
		Only MC completes	Amber = 1
		Neither MC nor DMC complete, or DMC completes but MC does not	Red = 0

Reflection and completion of case study based on outcomes of action plan	Optional	Case study submitted demonstrating impact of change as a result of the programme	Green = 2
		Case study started or planned	Amber = 1
		Case study not started or planned	Red = 0
Compliance review via online platform – note: this is the portfolio review.	Optional	Compliance review completed	Green = 2
		Compliance review started	Amber = 1
		Compliance review not started	Red = 0
Possible Total Score Optional Components			12
Possible Total Score Core and Optional Components			28

Dosage is defined as the length of time (in weeks) a nursery setting is delivering the Maths Champions programme. In this effectiveness trial, the intended duration of programme delivery is 7 to 8 months. This will start on the day NDNA make contact with the setting to begin the Maths Champions programme and end when post-testing occurs, or when the setting expresses a desire to no longer implement the Maths Champions programme or when NDNA withdraw their support, whichever is sooner. During this active implementation period, we would expect some evidence of core and/or optional elements of the Maths Champions programme being implemented.

Two potential Complier Average Causal Effect (CACE) analyses (Dunn, Maracy and Tomenson, 2005) for the primary analysis, will be conducted and the following definitions will apply (defining compliance of the nurseries as a dichotomous variable):

- Settings engaging at least **minimally** with the programme (defined as the nursery being rated amber score of 1 or green score of 2, in at least one of the core aspects of the programme, total core component score of at least 1 out of 16), vs setting received **no intervention** at all (control nurseries plus all intervention nurseries for whom all core components of the programme were rated red, score of 0); and
- Settings who deliver the programme with **good fidelity** (defined as the nursery being rated amber score of 1 or green score of 2 in all of the core aspects of the programme (minimum score of 8 and all components scoring at least 1) vs settings who deliver **no intervention or deliver with poor fidelity** (control nurseries plus all intervention nurseries for whom at least one core component of the programme is rated red score of 0).

A CACE analysis treating compliance as a continuous outcome will also be considered and detailed in the statistical analysis plan.

Analysis

Analysis will follow the EEF's (2018) most recent guidance and will be detailed in a SAP, produced within three months of randomisation of nurseries to the effectiveness trial. A summary of the proposed analyses is presented below.

All analyses will be conducted on an intention to treat basis, using two-sided significance at the 5% statistical level. A CONSORT diagram will be produced to show the flow of settings and children through the trial.

The number of children identified as eligible for the evaluation, the number for whom parental consent was received, the number selected to take part in the evaluation, and the numbers actually tested for ASPECTS at baseline and outcome assessments will be reported with reasons for non-participation given where available. Setting, practitioner, and child-level baseline data will be summarised by arm and presented descriptively, as randomised, and as included in the primary analysis (if different). This will include considering the proportion of children who have a 'positive screen' on the ASQ-3 domain scores, defined as scoring less than two standard deviations below the mean area score. No formal comparison of the baseline data will be undertaken, except for a comparison of the difference in prior attainment (ASPECTS scores and ASQ-3 domains) between the groups, reported as the Hedge's *g* effect size, with a 95% confidence interval (CI).

The pairwise correlation between baseline and outcome measurements for and between ASPECTS and ASQ-3, as appropriate, will be presented. The observed ICC for ASPECTS scores associated with setting (both baseline and outcome testing) will be presented with a 95% CI. All outcome data will be summarised descriptively by trial arm. Effect sizes based on the difference between the groups at the outcome testing will be presented as Hedges' *g* with 95% CI.

Numeracy attainment for children in the intervention group and those in the control group will be compared using a linear mixed model at the child-level. Group allocation, baseline ASPECT numeracy score, and setting-level minimisation factors will be included as fixed effects in the model, and setting as a random effect.

Subgroup analyses looking at gender, the average number of hours the child attends the nursery setting, eligibility for Early Years Pupil Premium, whether a child was eligible for FEEE at 2 years old and for PVI vs school-based nurseries³ will be considered and detailed in the SAP. Gender will be explored using subgroup analysis as there are differences in maths attainment between genders during the early years, with a higher proportion of girls achieving the expected level of development in mathematics than boys (Department for Education, 2019b). We include EYPP and FEEE within the subgroup analyses as measures of deprivation. Whilst EYPP is considered a 'traditional' identifier of deprivation, uptake of EYPP is low within early years. There are believed to be two reasons for this: (1) providers lack of understanding regarding differential Local Authority defined eligibility criteria, and (2) the fact that the responsibility of making applications for EYPP rests with parents, rather than providers (Roberts, Griggs and Robb, 2017). In comparison to EYPP, FEEE at 2 years old may be a better identifier of disadvantage within early years trials. Research has shown that once aware of the scheme, parents/carers are sufficiently self-serving to approach providers for a place (Paull et al., 2017), with a 72% take-up among eligible families in 2018 (Albakri et al., 2018).

³ The PVI vs school-based nurseries subgroup analysis has been included after the publication of the original SAP, but before the start of the analysis. This has been incorporated in the updated SAP.

Previous research also indicates that school-based nursery provision has a higher proportion of trained teachers in comparison to private day nurseries (Sylva et al. 2004). There is therefore a reasonable rationale for thinking that the intervention might be more effective in PVI nurseries than school-based as staff are generally lower qualified.

A Complier Average Causal Effect (CACE) analyses will be considered to account for compliance/engagement of the nurseries with the programme.

The language score from the ASPECTS will be analysed in the same way as the primary outcome. Responses to items in the practitioner confidence survey will be summarised descriptively by trial arm. The subscale score will be compared between the two arms using a linear mixed model, adjusting for the setting-level minimisation factors and highest qualification in mathematics of the respondent as fixed effects, and setting as a random effect.

Longitudinal follow-ups

The longitudinal analysis will involve accessing participating children's Early Years Foundation Stage Profile (EYFSP) data via the National Pupil Database. This longitudinal follow-up will enable us to determine if the Maths Champions programme, administered to nursery children (aged 3-4 years old), had any longer-term effects at the end of Reception (4-5 years old). To do so, this longitudinal analysis will focus on relevant EYFSP early learning goals which align to the outcomes of the effectiveness trial and the logic model. The analysis will follow the EEF's (2019b) most recent published guidance on longitudinal analysis of EEF trials. The analysis will consider mathematics, literacy, and readiness for school.

Key research questions

RQ 1. What is the impact of the Maths Champions programme, in comparison to usual early years setting provision, on the mathematical development of children at the end of reception, as measured by the mathematical early learning goal of the EYFSP?

RQ 2. What is the impact of the Maths Champions programme, in comparison to usual early years setting provision, on the literacy of children at the end of Reception, as measured by the literacy early learning goal of the EYFSP?

RQ 3. What is the impact of the Maths Champions programme, in comparison to usual early years setting provision, on children's overall development and school readiness, as measured by whether the child achieved a good level of development in the EYFSP?

Outcome measures

The EYFSP is an observational measure completed by teachers when children are in the summer term of Reception year.

Teachers rate each child's learning and development against 17 early learning goals (ELGs) using the following two levels: meeting the level of development expected at the end of the EYFS (expected); or not yet reaching this level (emerging). For any of the ELGs, a score of 'A' may be reported to indicate that a child has not been assessed.

See:

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1024319/Early_years_foundation_stage_profile_handbook_2022.pdf

Mathematics is a specific area of learning measured in the EYFSP, using two ELGs: Number; and Numerical Patterns. A binary measure of whether or not the pupil met 'expected' levels in both of these ELGs will be the primary outcome for the longitudinal analysis (RQ 1). Literacy is another learning area measured by the EYFSP, using three ELGs: Comprehension; Word Reading; and Writing. A binary measure of whether or not the pupil met 'expected' levels in all three of these ELGs will be a secondary outcome for the longitudinal analysis.

Additionally, as defined in the current EYFSP (Standards and Testing Agency, 2018; Department for Education, 2019c), children are defined as achieving a 'good level of development' if they achieve at least the expected level of development for the following:

- The prime areas of learning: personal, social and emotional development; physical development; and communication and language;
- The specific areas of mathematics and literacy.

'Good level of development' is a dichotomous variable (Yes/No) pre-calculated and provided as a single variable in the National Pupil Database.

Participants

We shall request National Pupil Database data for randomised children only, provided their parents/carers gave consent for their child's data to be accessed.

Analyses

Analyses will be conducted on an intention-to-treat basis, using two-sided significance at the 5% level. Outcome data will be summarised descriptively for the two groups. We will consider the correlations between EYFSP and measures collected as part of the main phase of the trial (ASPECTS and ASQ-3).

The three dichotomous outcomes will be analysed via mixed-effect logistic regression, adjusted for baseline ASPECTS score (numeracy for maths outcome, language for literacy outcome, and both (separately) for the GLD outcome), and setting-level minimisation factors.

In line with the effectiveness trial analyses, subgroup analyses as part of the longitudinal analysis will consider children that were eligible for the EYPP, FEEE at 2 years old, average number of hours that the child attends nursery, gender and PVI vs school-based nurseries. This will only be undertaken for the primary outcome of mathematics.

Effectiveness trial Implementation and process evaluation

Research questions

The purpose of the IPE is to address the following questions:

RQ 1: Is the Maths Champion (MC) programme delivered to MCs and DMCs with fidelity within both PVI and school nursery settings?

- 1.1. Are nominated staff (MCs, DMCs) accessing the available E-learning modules and the support as specified in the programme plan?
- 1.2. How effective and appropriate are the level of support and training (e.g. content, coverage, dosage and duration) for MCs and DMCs?
- 1.3. What are the barriers for MCs and DMCs to engage with the E-learning modules?

- 1.4. What are the necessary conditions (facilitators) for MCs and DMCs to engage with the E-learning module and the one to one support?

RQ 2: To what extent is the MC programme implemented as planned within nursery settings?

- 2.1. Do MCs and DMCs adhere to their roles as specified in the programme?
- 2.2. Do nursery practitioners implement the agreed action plans in their daily practice?
- 2.3. What are the barriers for MCs, DMCs and practitioners to implement MC in their classroom practice?
- 2.4. What are the necessary conditions for nursery practitioners to implement MC into practice?

RQ 3: What are the different stakeholders' viewpoints on the MC programme?

- 3.1. What are the perceived impacts of MC programme on nursery practitioners' classroom practice in general?
- 3.2. What are the perceived impacts of MC programme on nursery practitioners' math-related classroom practice, practitioners' confidence in teaching children maths, and practitioners' beliefs about children and maths?
- 3.3. What are the observed impacts on children's maths attainment?
- 3.4. How can the MC programme be improved?
- 3.5. What are the observed impacts of MC programme on nursery practitioners' math-related classroom practice?

RQ 4: To what extent does the MC programme impact evaluation process adhere to the plan?

- 4.1. Do nursery MCs and DMCs meet the specified recruitment criteria for the MC programme?
- 4.2. Does children and family recruitment process adhere to the recruitment strategy?
- 4.3. Do baseline and outcome test administrators (teachers or independent research assistants) effectively and appropriately evaluate children's maths attainment?
- 4.4. Any there any sample attrition effects and how that might affect the estimates of the impact of MC programme?

RQ.5: What is 'usual practice' in all settings?

RQ 6: What maths-related professional development (PD) opportunities do staff have in control group settings?

- 6.1. What are the perceived impacts of these maths-related PD opportunities on nursery staff's maths-related classroom practice?
- 6.2. What are the perceived impacts of these maths-related PD opportunities on children's maths attainment?
- 6.3. What other maths-related PD opportunities are nursery staff looking for?

We anticipate that the research questions, design and methods for the effectiveness trial IPE may be refined following the completion of the pilot IPE. Any changes made to the effectiveness trial IPE following completion of the pilot IPE will be fully documented, with reasons and any impact on overall results.

RQ 7: What is the perceived impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the delivery of the MC programme?

Research design, methods of data collection and analysis

The effectiveness trial IPE has been designed to ensure adherence to the key principles for the design, conduct and reporting of IPEs. The effectiveness trial will address the descriptive and experiential aspects of the effectiveness trial research questions. The research design and methods of data collection and analysis will be finalised following the results of the pilot IPE. Results from the pilot IPE will be summarised in an internal report in August/September 2020, which will be included in the final report when published. The effectiveness trial IPE will complement the quantified outcomes for the effectiveness trial impact evaluation and will be both cross-sectional and longitudinal in design. It will explore the perceptions and experiences of key stakeholders towards the end of the effectiveness trial study period to provide snapshot descriptive data on perceptions about: barriers and facilitators to MC programme implementation and delivery; and adherence to the evaluation protocol. The impact evaluation and IPE are fully integrated. Measures of compliance, fidelity and usual practice have been included in the impact evaluation, and these data will be complemented by effectiveness trial IPE data which will explain reasons underpinning levels of compliance and levels of fidelity within the context of usual practice.

Data collection will comprise surveys with all MCs and DMCs (via the usual practice survey) and a series of interviews or focus groups with all key stakeholders: MCs, DMCs and NDNA staff. The usual practice surveys will be used to establish baseline and post-intervention practices within settings and to monitor settings to determine longitudinally whether any changes to the planned practice were made in the control settings over the course of the trial.

At least 11 (nursery settings (9 PVI; 2 SN) will form the sample for the effectiveness trial IPE interviews (unless saturation is reached with a lower sample size, i.e. unless emerging patterns in the data no longer include new insights). The sample will be a combination of randomly sampled intervention settings to provide the full range of delivery and settings selected by NDNA to provide examples of 'best practice' (i.e. settings which have engaged particularly well with the programme).

For the full sample, some interviews will be conducted during implementation (e.g. to gauge perceptions on the training) and some will be conducted towards the end of the effectiveness trial, after implementation. The effectiveness trial IPE will also provide longitudinal quantified data on the access to e-learning modules over the period of the effectiveness trial. It will link the perceptions of the key stakeholders to key process outcomes of the logic model to provide evidence of promise.

All data collection for the IPE will follow best practice ethical guidelines in terms of fully informed consent to participate.

The Early Childhood Environment Rates Scales-III (ECERS-3) and the ECERS-E which has a specific focus on maths quality provision will be collected, at baseline (October 2021, after randomisation) and at outcome testing (June/July 2022) within four intervention settings. These ECERS data will be collected by external providers, A+ Education Ltd, who will also provide settings with ECERS feedback following the outcome period in June 2022. These ECERS data will be descriptively incorporated within the IPE to provide insight and clarity to the results of the impact evaluation, and the impact, if any, of the Maths Champions programme on the quality of maths provision within settings.

Please see Table 7 for information linking design and data collection processes to research questions and to the logic model.

Table 7: IPE design and methods of data collection and analysis overview

Research methods	Data collection methods	Participant s/data sources (type, number)	Data analysis methods	Research questions addressed	Implementation / logic model relevance
Cross-sectional design	Semi-structured Interview/focus group	NDNA staff (n=2)	Combination of inductive and deductive analysis with analyses grouped thematically according to RQs	RQ 1: 1.1; RQ 3: 3.4; RQ 4: 4.1; RQ 7	Fidelity; Context
Cross-sectional design	Semi-structured Interview/focus group	MCs (PVI n=9; SN n=2)		RQ 1: 1.2, 1.3, 1.4; RQ 2: 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4; RQ 3: 3.1, 3.2, 3.4; RQ 7	Fidelity; Process outcomes (confidence and competence)
Cross-sectional design	Semi-structured Interview/focus group	DMCs (PVI n=9; SN n=2)		RQ 1: 1.2, 1.3, 1.4; RQ 2: 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4; RQ 3: 3.1, 3.2, 3.4; RQ 7	Fidelity; Process outcomes (confidence and competence)
Cross-sectional design	Semi-structured Interview/focus group	Other practitioners (PVI n=9; SN n=2)		RQ 2: 2.2, 2.3, 2.4; RQ 3: 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4; RQ 7	Fidelity; Process outcomes (confidence and competence)
Longitudinal design	Log data of E-learning module attendance	MCs and DMCs (PVI n=9; SN n=2)	frequency counts; regression	RQ 1: 1.1	Compliance; Context
Longitudinal design	Setting practice observation (ECERS-3 & ECERS-E)	PVI n=2; SN n=2	Descriptive analysis;	RQ 3: 3.5	Context; Outcomes
Longitudinal design	Baseline and endpoint setting usual practice surveys	All control and intervention settings	Frequency. Counts; Descriptive/t hematic analysis	RQ.5; 6; 7	Context

Data collection

Data collection will use a combination of semi-structured interviews or focus groups (telephone/Zoom/TEAMS and face-to-face), e-learning logs data and on-line and paper surveys. All data collection tools will be pre-specified and registered with the Ethics Committee providing ethical approval, thus ensuring transparency of the methods.

Analysis

IPE data will be analysed using a combination of inductive and deductive analyses. Emerging patterns in the data will be grouped thematically according to the research questions. Results will be synthesised from the themes and presented as answers to each IPE research question.

Cost evaluation

The cost analyses will follow the 'ingredients method' (Levin et al., 2017) to account for the costs of the implementing the Maths Champions programme at *all* stages. Cost data will be collected from relevant staff members by the evaluation team at different time-points throughout the trial via cost-specific surveys and during planned IPE interviews. MCs and DMCs will be requested to complete two, short, cost-specific online surveys (during December 2021/January 2022) and February/March 2022. Cost-specific questions will also be included within the end-point survey for intervention settings. A summary of the content of these surveys is provided below:

- February/March 2022 – this survey will capture the amount of time (staff working hours) spent completing the relevant **training components** of the programme (e.g. the online induction, 3 x2 hour e-learning training modules and development of setting-specific action plan), any start-up, pre-requisites costs (e.g. computer or internet connectivity), unexpected or hidden costs associated with training.
- June 2022 – these surveys will capture the amount of time (staff working hours) involved in continuing to deliver the programme (e.g. the time spent attending monthly online webinars, planning to implement core resources into practices, monitoring pupil progress and reviewing setting action plans, participating in 1-2-1 support from NDNA), any recurring implementation costs (e.g. materials, print outs, resources), unexpected or hidden costs.

Managers/head teachers will be requested to provide the full hourly cost (including wages, national insurance payments, benefits, cost of recruiting new teachers, among others) for each relevant staff member e.g. MC and DMC. This will allow us to capture the approximate costs of delivering the programme among staff at different levels of seniority (e.g. Level 3 practitioner vs. graduate practitioner). Setting managers/head teachers will also be requested to indicate the cost of staff cover, if applicable.

The total cost per school for a programme as implemented over three consecutive years, and the cost per-pupil-per-school-years will be presented. Sensitivity analyses will account for differences in costs of running the programme, e.g. PVI versus maintained and SN settings; MC being a graduate versus Level 3.

Ethics and registration

- Ethics approval has been granted from the University of York, Health Sciences Research Governance Committee on 29th November 2019

- School of Education Ethics Committee at Durham University will be informed of the study.
- NHS ethical approval will be sought for seeking access to children's ASQ-3 data only.
- A Memorandum of Understanding signed by nursery settings will cover the requirements of the project.
- Data Sharing Agreements will be put in place between the University of York and participating nurseries.
- Participating nurseries will also be required to sign an agreement with Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring (CEM) at Cambridge Assessment to cover the use of the ASPECTS software.
- On 12th February 2020 the trial was registered: ISRCTN31930534

Trial monitoring

Trial Management Group

The evaluation team will form a Trial Management Group, the decision making body who will be responsible for the day-to-day running and management of the trial. Led by the joint principal investigators (Robinson-Smith and Ainsworth, Robinson-Smith and D. Torgerson from August 2022) at YTU and the principal investigator at Durham University (previously C. Torgerson, from Oct 2020 V. Menzies), it consists of all members of the evaluation team. The Trial Management Group will meet on a regular basis. Regular meetings will be held with the delivery team and representatives from the EEF as appropriate.

Trial management

The trial will be sponsored by the University of York. The day-to-day management of the trial will be co-ordinated through YTU. YTU Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) will be followed where applicable and the research team will be trained as appropriate. The University of York, for YTU, will obtain and hold public liability insurance cover for legal liabilities arising from the trial.

Child safeguarding

In the very rare circumstance that a child safeguarding issue is suspected, for example during data collection a set procedure will be followed which will include contacting the trial principal investigators (Robinson-Smith and Ainsworth). The child nursery setting and parents/carers will be informed accordingly and the nursery setting's usual safeguarding policy will be followed.

Complaints

Nurseries and parents/carers will be provided with the principal investigator's contact details, should they wish to make a complaint about the conduct of the trial. Complaints will be dealt with by the principal investigators and the Trial Management Group will be informed.

Declaration of interests

The principal investigators (Robinson-Smith, Ainsworth, D. Torgerson) declare no competing interests.

Access to data

The final anonymised trial dataset will be available to all trial team members/investigators if a formal request describing their plans is approved by the Trial Management Group. To ensure confidentiality, data dispersed to trial team members will be blinded of any identifying participant information.

Appropriate datasets will be provided to the EEF data archive manager and the Office for National Statistics for archiving and long-term follow up purposes.

Publication and dissemination policy

The results of this trial will be submitted in a final report to the EEF, who will publish the report on their website. Articles for educational journals may be written and presentations given at relevant conferences.

Data protection

The University of York will be the Data Controller who also processes data. Data subjects are the participants in the evaluation, which includes children in participating nurseries and staff members in participating nurseries.

Personal data will be processed under Article 6 (1) (e) (*Processing necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest*) and Special Category data under Article 9 (2) (j) (*Processing necessary for ... scientific ... research purposes*) of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR; 2018).

All participant data will be treated with the strictest confidence and will be stored in accordance with the GDPR. Identifiable information about participants will be shared by the evaluation team, with the Department for Education, the EEF's archive manager and, in an anonymised form, with the Office for National Statistics and potentially other research teams. Matching to the National Pupil Database and other administrative data may take place during this and subsequent research. There will be no international data transfers outside of the EU.

Parents/carers will be informed about the research through an information sheet sent on behalf of the evaluation team by nurseries to parents/carers. Parents/carers will be asked to return a signed paper consent form or return an eConsent form (via survey software, Qualtrics) if they are willing for their child to be included in the evaluation.

For the purposes of the research, the following details about participating children will be collected from participating nurseries, parents/carers and the National Pupil Database: child full name, date of birth, gender, home postcode, Early Years Pupil Premium status, FEEE from 2 years of age, attendance at nursery per week, ASQ-3 and ASPECTS assessment data, EYFSP data, child's school destination, as well as new setting destination should children leave participating nurseries before outcome testing.

Nurseries will transfer personal data directly to YTU on an encrypted spreadsheet of participant details, via the University of York's secure file transfer service (DropOff).

A unique trial identification number (Trial ID) will be generated for each participant when their details are entered into the trial management system. ASPECTS data is collected and stored online via CEM's (Cambridge Assessment) servers. YTU will have access to setting's ASPECTS accounts so that assessment data can be downloaded and stored securely. In

order to provide the ASPECTS assessment, CEM will collect child name, date of birth, gender, year group, and class.

The trial management systems and trial data will be held on secure University of York servers with access limited to specified members of YTU staff. The dataset for statistical analysis will hold anonymised data. No nurseries, staff members, or children will be identifiable in the report or dissemination of any results.

Electronic data and paper documents including identifiable personal child data will be securely archived and disposed of by YTU 5 years after the end of the study (2028). Identifiable personal data about adult data subjects (e.g. nursery staff) will be kept for 5 years after the end of the study (2028). Anonymised electronic data and paper documents will be kept indefinitely.

Data sharing agreements will also be put in place with participating nurseries before data transfer.

The University of York's data protection policy is publicly available at: <https://www.york.ac.uk/records-management/dp/>

Personnel

Evaluation team

Dr Lyn Robinson-Smith, York Trials Unit, University of York

Lyn Robinson-Smith is a research fellow (trial manager) with experience of leading and delivering large trials, particularly in the early years. She is the joint principal investigator and will be responsible for the oversight of the trial. Lyn will also be responsible for providing training for the baseline and outcome testing.

Hannah Ainsworth, York Trials Unit, University of York

Hannah Ainsworth is an experienced education and health care trial manager. She is the joint principal investigator and was responsible for the oversight of the trial until August 2022.

Professor Carole Torgerson, Department for Education, University of York

Professor Carole Torgerson is an expert in RCT design and conduct and has been the principal investigator or a co-investigator on over 25 RCTs. Carole was Principal Investigator at Durham University until October 2020, before moving to University of York. She will contribute to the overall design and conduct of the impact evaluation and will lead the IPE

Professor David Torgerson, York Trials Unit, University of York

Professor David Torgerson is the director of York Trials Unit and has worked on numerous RCTs, including many in education and the social sciences. He will support the design and conduct of the trial and is Joint Principal investigator from August 2022.

Professor Catherine Hewitt, York Trials Unit, University of York

Professor Catherine Hewitt is a senior trial statistician and deputy director of York Trials Unit, with experience working on numerous RCTs including educational trials. She will provide input into the statistical analysis.

Louise Elliott, York Trials Unit, University of York

Louise Elliott has worked on a large number of EEF trials and has been involved in trial coordination, data management and coordinating testing. She will be responsible for the data management aspect and testing on the trial.

Caroline Fairhurst, York Trials Unit, University of York

Caroline Fairhurst is a senior statistician, currently supporting a number of trials, including several EEF-funded trials, within York Trials Unit. She will oversee and undertake the statistical analysis and take responsibility for archiving data with the FFT.

Kalpita Joshi, York Trials Unit, University of York

Kalipita Joshi is a trainee statistician, currently supporting a number of trials, within York Trials Unit. She will undertake the statistical analysis.

Dr Katie Whiteside, York Trials Unit, University of York

Katie Whiteside has worked on a number of RCTs evaluating education and health care interventions. Katie will act as a trial coordinator for the evaluation and will contribute to writing the final report.

Dr Xiaofei Qi, Durham University

Dr Xiaofei Qi is an assistant professor at Durham University and an associate of the Cambridge Psychometrics Centre. Her substantive area is early years and she will provide expertise in assessment and will conduct elements of the IPE.

Vic Menzies, Durham University

Vic Menzies is an experienced education trial coordinator and researcher with a particular focus on maths development and learning. She will contribute expertise to the design and conduct of the evaluation, particularly the IPE. Vic will be Principal Investigator at Durham University from October 2020.

Delivery team

Stella Ziolkowski, National Day Nurseries Association

Stella Ziolkowski is Director of Quality and Training at NDNA. She has overarching contract responsibility for the delivery of outcomes and milestones, reporting to EEF, final approval of deliverables, processes and procedures in relation to the trial.

Sue Gifford, Roehampton University

Sue Gifford is a Specialist Maths Adviser and will provide mathematical advice for programme content.

Paula Dunn, National Day Nurseries Association

Paula Dunn is the Maths Champions lead and is responsible for providing settings with their induction to the programme and continued one-to-one support for Champions.

Freya Roper, National Day Nurseries Association

Freya Roper is a Project Manager with contract management responsibility for the day to day delivery of the trial, including milestones tracking, the recruitment process and financial monitoring.

Kathryn Moses, National Day Nursery Association

Kathryn Moses is a Project Officer and will assist with programme coordinating and recruitment, record keeping and tracking mandatory outcomes for delivery.

Fiona Bland, National Day Nurseries Association

Fiona Bland is an Early Years Advisor who will be the Maths Champions support adviser within the trial and will cover for staff absences.

Risks

Table 8: Risks

Risk	Detail/Preventative measure	Likelihood
Insufficient settings recruited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The evaluation team will work closely with the delivery team to support recruitment. • Long period of effectiveness trial recruitment. • Recruiting PVI, and SN settings. • No requirement for nurseries to have a graduate. • Financial recruitment incentives provided to participating settings (£250 after parent/carer recruitment but before pre-testing and £250 after outcome testing). 	Medium
Insufficient children recruited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Request settings to provide the total number of children who are eligible to participate and distribute information packs to parents/carers of all eligible children (rather than self-selecting parents/carers to approach). • Provide guidance and support to nominated nursery staff so that they feel confident speaking to parent/carers about the trial. • Provide parents/carers with transparent information about the trial/Maths Champions programme and assure parents/carers of confidentiality of data and their own and their child's anonymity in trial reports (via user-friendly information sheets). • The first setting incentive payment (£250 after parent/carer recruitment) should allow nursery staff time to be freed up to support parent/carer recruitment. • Evaluate parent/carer recruitment strategies in the pilot to inform the effectiveness trial. 	Medium
Missing baseline data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Baseline measures selected to involve minimal burden on settings. • Some nurseries (particularly PVI) may experience barriers to baseline data collection using ASPECTS, such as insufficient staff resource or lack of technology (e.g. laptops/computers, access to Wi-Fi). In these circumstances, laptops could be couriered to settings to use or the evaluation team will arrange for a research assistant to visit the setting to collect the data, if possible. • The first setting incentive payment (£250 before pre-testing) should allow staff time to be freed up for baseline assessments. • Setting characteristics, current practices survey, participating child details, and completion of child 	Medium

Risk	Detail/Preventative measure	Likelihood
	baseline assessments will be required as a condition to be randomised.	
Independent research assistants unable to complete baseline assessments in settings due to COVID-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The baseline and outcome child assessment measure (ASPECTS) is computer based and suitable for settings to complete themselves. It is planned that all PVI settings will complete baseline assessments themselves and training materials are in place for this. All SN settings will be given the option of completing baseline themselves and this may be necessary if sending research assistants is not possible. <p>Provide financial incentive to any setting struggling to complete baseline ASPECTS, the incentive could be used to pay for additional staff to complete this part of the evaluation. This would be negotiated with EEF.</p>	High
Unable to complete in person semi-structured interviews/ focus groups due to COVID-19 pandemic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Offer zoom/TEAMS interviews/focus groups to minimise burden and maximise convenience in data collection for pilot and, if necessary, main phase data collection. 	High
Tight timeline for setting randomisation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Due to the tight timeline between baseline assessments and randomisation needing to take place, randomisation will take place in batches (of settings who have completed baseline assessments), to adhere as close as possible to the timeline. 	Medium
MC Programme unable to be delivered as intended due to COVID-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NDNA may need to adapt Maths Champions programme to enable delivery if COVID-19 restrictions continue. 	Medium
Short staffing at settings due to COVID-19 / setting staff turnover	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recruitment in PVI settings, and SN settings where possible, will start in the summer term 2021 to relieve the pressure of recruitment in September 2022. For intervention settings, in the event that the trained MC leaves the setting during the trial, or takes a leave of absence, the DMC may take over the MC role to prevent the disengagement from the programme. Alternatively, another nursery practitioner may be trained to replace the MC, with support from the DMC. The delivery team will provide support and training, as appropriate, to the new MC or DMC. The role DMC may be replaced should the existing DMC take over the MC role. Having a MC and DMC (i.e. two practitioners trained in the programme) at each intervention setting should 	High

Risk	Detail/Preventative measure	Likelihood
	<p>help to mitigate the impact of staff absences on programme delivery, compared to just having a MC.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate in the pilot IPE (e.g. in setting interviews) how the research team can alleviate pressure on settings due to short staffing in terms of programme delivery and evaluation activities. 	
High attrition among settings (not due to COVID-19)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The randomised controlled trial model will be explained to settings during effectiveness trial requirement. • The value of control nurseries will be explained in initial discussions and during data collection points. • Aim to over recruit in the effectiveness trial to allow for some attrition. • Delivery team and evaluation team to develop good relationship with settings through regular contact. • EEF prepare a letter to setting managers to encourage them to remain in the trial, in the event of withdrawal requests. 	Low
Complete setting closures due to COVID-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aim to over recruit in the effectiveness trial to allow for some attrition. 	Medium
Missing outcome data / high attrition among children	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The second setting incentive payment (£250) will be paid after the completion of outcome data collection. • Mop-up research assistant visits will be arranged, if possible, to collect data from children who were absent during first assessment visit. • Request parent/carers in the information sheet and consent form to agree for the evaluation team to request new setting details from the child's nursery/parents/carers, should they move nurseries prior to outcome testing. New settings will receive a £100 incentive to allow outcome testing. The feasibility of this approach will be explored in the pilot study. 	High
Cross-over	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children may move from an intervention setting to a control setting or vice versa. Children's data will be analysed as per the original assignment (ITT) and cross-over considered within a CACE analysis. 	Low

Timeline

Table 9: Timeline

Date	Activity	Staff responsible/leading
12 th Jul 2019	Set Up Meeting 1	EEF, ET, DT
2 nd Sep 2019	Set Up Meeting 2	EEF, ET, DT
10 th Oct 2019	IDEAs Workshop	ET, DT
Sep - Nov 2019	Protocol development	ET
Nov 2019	Ethics application for pilot study	ET
Dec 2019 - Jan 2020	Ethics application for effectiveness trial	ET
<i>Pilot Study</i>		
Nov - Dec 2019	Recruit nurseries	DT (support from ET)
Jan 2020	Recruit parent/carers	ET
Jan - Feb 2020	Pilot baseline assessments with children; nursery setting usual practice survey	ET
Feb 2020	Pilot nurseries commence MC programme (support and resources provided for 12 months)	DT
Mar 2020	Pilot study programme delivery and evaluation activities with settings paused due to COVID-19	-
Apr 2020	IPE interviews (with NDNA only)	ET
Activity suspended due to COVID-19	Pilot outcome assessments with cohort 1 children; practitioner confidence and beliefs survey	ET
Sept 2020	Submission of pilot study interim report.	ET
Oct – Nov 2020	Restart delivery of MC programme to pilot settings (support and resources provided for 12 months)	DT
Oct - Nov 2020	Collect current setting destination for cohort 2 children	ET
Jan - Feb 2021	IPE interviews with settings and DT	ET
Feb 2021	Outcome assessments with cohort 2 children (COVID-19 dependant)	ET
Jun - Jul 2021	IPE end-point setting/staff surveys	ET

Date	Activity	Staff responsible/leading
<i>Effectiveness trial</i>		
Jan - May 2021	Recruit settings	DT (support from ET)
Jan – May 2021	Ethics Application for NHS REC for access to ASQ3 data in NHS digital.	
June - Sep 2021	Recruit parent/carers; schedule baseline assessments; baseline assessment training	ET
2nd Sep 2021	Autumn term begins	-
Sep - Oct 2021	Baseline assessments with children; nursery setting usual practice survey	ET
Early Oct 2021	Batch randomisation begins	ET
Early Oct 2021	ECERS baseline within identified IPE intervention settings	A+ Education Ltd (ECERS only, external providers)
25th-29th Oct 2021	School half-term	-
Mid Oct 2021 - Jun 2022	Delivery of MC programme (support and resources provided for 7-8 months)	DT
Jan 2022	Complete SAP	ET
Sep 2021 - Aug 2022	IPE interviews	ET
Jan 2022-May 2020	Application to NHS digital for ASQ3 data	ET
Jun - Jul 2022	Outcome assessments with children; practitioner confidence and beliefs survey; ECERS post-intervention within identified IPE intervention settings	ET and A+ Education Ltd (ECERS only, external providers)

Date	Activity	Staff responsible/ leading
23rd Jul - 31st Aug 2022	School summer holidays	-
Aug 2022	IPE DT interview	ET
Sep - Dec 2022	Confirmation of 'school destination of children' collected via settings/parents/carers to enable matching to National Pupil Database.	ET
Sep - Oct 2022	Data analysis and report writing	ET
Nov 2022	Submit impact and IPE draft report for pilot and effectiveness trial	ET
April 2023	Submission of final edited EEF Report, submission of data to the EEF data archive and updating the ISRCTN trial registry with results. Submission of interim statement of spend to date.	ET
<i>Long term follow up</i>		
Nov 2023	Submission of National Pupil Database request for Early Years Foundation Stage Profile data (completed at the end of Reception)	ET
Nov 2023 - Jan 2024	Report addendum analysis and writing	ET
Feb 2024	Submit addendum long-term follow up	ET
April 2024	Submission of long-term data to EEF archive and updating of ISRCTN trial registry with results. Submission of final statement of spend to EEF.	ET

EEF = Education Endowment Foundation; ET = Evaluation Team; DT = Delivery Team;
ECERS = Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale

References

Albakri, M., Basi, T., Davies, M., Forsyth, E., Hopwood, V., Patel, R., Skipp, A. and Tanner, E., 2018. *Take-up of free early education entitlements: Research report*. [online] London: Department for Education. Available at: <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/738776/Take-up_of_free_early_education_entitlements.pdf> [Accessed 7 Jan. 2020].

All Party Parliamentary Group for Maths & Numeracy, 2014. *Maths and numeracy in the early years*. [online] Available at: <<https://nrich.maths.org/content/id/11441/APPG%20paper%20-%20EYs.pdf>> [Accessed 21 Jan. 2020].

Asmussen, K., Law, J., Charlton, J., Acquah, D., Brims, L., Pote, I. and McBride, T., 2018. *Key competencies in early cognitive development: Things, people, numbers and words*. [online] London: Early Intervention Foundation. Available at: <<https://www.eif.org.uk/report/key-competencies-in-early-cognitive-development-things-people-numbers-and-words>> [Accessed 19 Nov. 2019].

Chen, J.-Q., McCray, J., Adams, M. and Leow, C., 2014. A survey study of early childhood teachers' beliefs and confidence about teaching early math. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 42(6), pp.367–377.

Department for Education, 2019a. *Childcare and early years providers survey: 2019*. [online] London. Available at: <<https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/childcare-and-early-years-providers-survey-2019>> [Accessed 20 Nov. 2019].

Department for Education, 2019b. *Early years foundation stage profile results: 2018 to 2019*. [online] London: Department for Education. Available at: <<https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/early-years-foundation-stage-profile-results-2018-to-2019>>.

Department for Education, 2019c. *Early Years Foundation Stage Reforms: Government consultation*. [online] London: Department for Education. Available at: <https://consult.education.gov.uk/early-years-quality-outcomes/early-years-foundation-stage-reforms/supporting_documents/EYFS%20reforms%20consultation.pdf> [Accessed 21 Nov. 2019].

Department for Education, 2019d. *Education provision: children under 5 years of age, January 2019*. [online] London: Department for Education. Available at: <<https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/education-provision-children-under-5-years-of-age-january-2019>> [Accessed 20 Nov. 2019].

Department for Education, 2019e. *National curriculum assessments at key stage 2 in England, 2019 (provisional)*. [online] London: Department for Education. Available at: <<https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-curriculum-assessments-key-stage-2-2019-provisional>> [Accessed 4 Oct. 2019].

Duncan, G.J., Dowsett, C.J., Claessens, A., Magnuson, K., Huston, A.C., Klebanov, P., Pagani, L.S., Feinstein, L., Engel, M., Brooks-Gunn, J., Sexton, H., Duckworth, K. and Japel, C., 2007. School readiness and later achievement. *Developmental Psychology*, 43(6), pp.1428–1446.

Dunn, G., Maracy, M. and Tomenson, B., 2005. Estimating treatment effects from randomized clinical trials with noncompliance and loss to follow-up: the role of instrumental variable methods. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 14(4), pp.369–395.

Education Endowment Foundation, 2018. *Statistical analysis guidance for EEF evaluations*. [online] Education Endowment Foundation. Available at:

<https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Grantee_guide_and_EEF_policies/Evaluation/Writing_a_Protocol_or_SAP/EEF_statistical_analysis_guidance_2018.pdf> [Accessed 20 Nov. 2019].

Education Endowment Foundation, 2019a. *Implementation and process evaluation guidance for EEF evaluations*. [online] London: Education Endowment Foundation. Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Evaluation/Setting_up_an_Evaluation/IPE_guidance.pdf> [Accessed 2 Mar. 2020].

Education Endowment Foundation, 2019b. *Longitudinal analysis of EEF trials*. [online] London: Education Endowment Foundation. Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Grantee_guide_and_EEF_policies/Evaluation/Writing_a_Protocol_or_SAP/longitudinal_guidance.pdf>.

Education Endowment Foundation, 2020. *Improving Mathematics in the Early Years and Key Stage 1*. [online] London: Education Endowment Foundation. Available at: <<https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/tools/guidance-reports/early-maths/#recommendation-1>> [Accessed 29 Jan. 2020].

Evangelou, M. and Mathers, S., 2018. Theory of change: Maths Champions Intervention. In: *Maths Champions: Additional Appendices*. [online] Education Endowment Foundation. Available at: <<https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/projects-and-evaluation/projects/maths-champions/>>.

Frye, D., Baroody, A.J., Burchinal, M., Carver, S.M., Jordan, N.C. and McDowell, J., 2013. *Teaching math to young children: A practice guide*. [online] Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance (NCEE), Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Available at: <<https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/PracticeGuide/18>> [Accessed 21 Jan. 2020].

Levin, H.M., McEwan, P.J., Belfield, C., Bowden, A.B. and Shand, R., 2017. *Economic evaluation in education: Cost-effectiveness and benefit-cost analysis (Third Edition)*. London: SAGE Publications.

Mullis, I.V.S., Martin, M.O., Foy, P. and Arora, A., 2012. *TIMSS 2011 International Results in Mathematics*. [online] Chestnut Hill, MA: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center, Boston College. Available at: <<https://timssandpirls.bc.edu/timss2011/international-results-mathematics.html>> [Accessed 19 Nov. 2019].

NDNA, 2019. *NDNA 2018/19 Workforce Survey England*. [online] Huddersfield: National Day Nurseries Association. Available at: <https://www.ndna.org.uk/NDNA/News/Reports_and_surveys/Workforce_survey/nursery_workforce_survey_2019.aspx> [Accessed 20 Nov. 2019].

Paull, G., La Valle, I., Speight, S., Marshall, L. and White, C., 2017. *Evaluation of early rollout of 30 hours free childcare: Research brief*. [online] London: Department for Education. Available at: <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/641864/Evaluation_of_early_rollout_of_30_hours_free_childcare_brief.pdf> [Accessed 7 Jan. 2020].

Public Health England, 2018. *Feasibility study: developing the capability for population surveillance using indicators of child development outcomes aged 2 to 2 and a half years*. [online] London: Public Health England. Available at: <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/683601/Feasibility_study_developing_the_capability_for_population_surveillance_using_indicators_of_child_development_outcomes_aged_2_to_2_and_a_half_years.pdf> [Accessed 12 Jul. 2020].

Public Health England, 2020. *Child development outcomes at 2 - 2½ years (Experimental Statistics): Quarter 3 2019/20 Statistical Commentary (April 2020 release)*. [online] London: Public Health England. Available at: <<https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/child-development-outcomes-at-2-to-2-and-a-half-years-2019-to-2020>> [Accessed 12 Jul. 2020].

Roberts, E., Griggs, J. and Robb, S., 2017. *Study of Early Education and Development: Experiences of the Early Years Pupil Premium*. [online] London: Department for Education. Available at: <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/586474/SEED-Experiences_of_the_Early_Years_Pupil_Premium_-_RR645.pdf> [Accessed 7 Jan. 2020].

Robinson-Smith, L., Fairhurst, C., Stone, G., Bell, K., Elliott, L., Gascoine, L., Hallett, S., Hewitt, C., Hugill, J., Torgerson, C., Torgerson, D., Menzies, V. and Ainsworth, H., 2018. *Maths Champions: Evaluation report and executive summary*. [online] London: Education Endowment Foundation. Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Projects/Evaluation_Reports/Maths_champions_evaluation_report.pdf> [Accessed 8 Oct. 2019].

Saghaei, M. and Saghaei, S., 2011. Implementation of an open-source customizable minimization program for allocation of patients to parallel groups in clinical trials. *Journal of Biomedical Science and Engineering*, 4(11), pp.734–739.

Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., Elliot, K. and Marsh, A., 2004. *The Effective Provision of Pre-School Education (EPPE) Project: The Continuing Effects of Pre-school education at Age 7 Years*. [online] London: Institute of Education. Available at: <<https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/10005290/>> [Accessed 19 Nov. 2019].

Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B. and Hunt, S., 2008. *Effective Pre-school and Primary Education 3-11 Project (EPPE 3-11): Influences on children's attainment and progress in Key Stage 2: Cognitive outcomes in Year 6*. Nottingham: Department for Children, Schools and Families.

Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., Toth, K., Draghici, D. and Smees, R., 2011. *Effective Pre-school, Primary and Secondary Education Project (EPPSE 3-14): Influences on students' attainment and progress in Key Stage 3: Academic outcomes in English, maths and science in Year 9 Full report*. London: Department for Education.

von Spreckelsen, M., Dove, E., Coolen, I., Mills, A., Dowker, A., Sylva, K., Ansari, D., Merkley, R., Murphy, V. and Scerif, G., 2019. Let's talk about maths: The role of observed "maths-talk" and maths provisions in preschoolers' numeracy. *Mind, Brain, and Education*, [online] (Early view article). Available at: <<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/mbe.12221>> [Accessed 19 Nov. 2019].

Standards and Testing Agency, 2018. *Early years foundation stage profile: 2019 handbook*. [online] London: Standards and Testing Agency. Available at: <<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/early-years-foundation-stage-profile-handbook>>.


Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Sammons, P., Siraj, I., Taggart, B., Smees, R., Toth, K., Welcomme, W. and Hollingworth, K., 2014. *Students' educational and developmental outcomes at age 16: Effective Pre-school, Primary and Secondary Education (EPPSE 3-16) Project research report*. Department for Education.

Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Sammons, P., Siraj, I. and Taggart, B. 2004. The effective provision of Pre-school Education (EPPE) project: Findings from pre-school to end of key stage 1.

Nottingham, United Kingdom: Department for Education and Skill. Available at:
<https://ro.uow.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3155&context=sspapers>

Watts, T.W., Duncan, G.J., Siegler, R.S. and Davis-Kean, P.E., 2014. What's past is prologue: Relations between early mathematics knowledge and high school achievement. *Educational Researcher*, 43(7), pp.352–360.

Appendix A: Effectiveness Trial Diagram



Appendix B: Setting Memorandum of Understanding for Pilot Trial

MCII Pilot Study Information for Settings and MoU v1.0 20191113

Evaluation of the Maths Champions Programme: Pilot Study

INFORMATION FOR SETTINGS

We are looking for 10 settings (private or school-based) to run the Maths Champions programme for FREE starting in January 2020 as part of a pilot study!

Findings from the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile results demonstrate that children perform least well in Maths (number and shape, space and measure) against other areas of learning. In response to this, the National Day Nursery Association (NDNA) (<https://www.ndna.org.uk/>) developed the 'Maths Champions' programme. The aim of the programme is to improve practice in maths across the whole setting by providing access to a range of online training, evaluative tools and resources to enhance maths practice and to build the confidence and skills of the practitioners working with young children. As part of the programme, setting's nominate a lead practitioner to be the 'Maths Champion' (wherever possibly this should be an early year's teacher, or graduate in early years. Where this is not possible the nominated Maths Champion should be responsible for leading the quality of the EYFS and qualified to level 3 as a minimum) and another to be a 'Deputy Maths Champion' (who is the room leader of 2, 3 or 4-year-old provision and also holds a level 3 qualification as a minimum). Together they are supported by NDNA and provided with an induction to the programme (two 2.5 hour online sessions), online training modules, one-to-one support by phone or web-conference, audit tools and a wide range of resources. These are used together to review, reflect on and improve practice. The Maths Champions programme is all online so there is no need for staff to leave the nursery.

Previous research (funded by the Education Endowment Foundation, EEF, who are the government-designated 'What Works' Centre for Education) found that children attending settings using the Maths Champions programme may make, on average, two months' additional progress in maths. Based on these findings, the EEF have funded a second, larger research trial to look further at how the impact the Maths Champions programme may have on children's outcomes. Before we start this large trial we are running a pilot study to explore changes that have been made to the Maths Champions programme since the first trial and help us understand the best ways we can collect information we require from nurseries, parents/carers and children. Below we explain more about what taking part in this pilot study would mean for your nursery.

What does your setting get for taking part in the pilot study?

- FREE access to the Maths Champions programme for 12 months.
- Participation in a research project that aims to improve the evidence-base surrounding early years learning.
- £500 cash (you will receive £250 in January 2020 and £250 in June 2020). This is to support your setting taking part in the evaluation.

Who can take part in the pilot study?

- Private, voluntary, independent or school-based settings.

- Settings willing to nominate two practitioners to undertake the role of 'Maths Champion' and the 'Deputy Maths Champions'.
- Settings with onsite access to a computer with internet connection.
- Settings who have not previously been involved with the Maths Champion intervention.
- Settings who have a 3 year old cohort, who are due to start reception in Sep 2020. *Settings do not need to have a 2 year old cohort, who are due to start reception in Sep 2021 or Sep 2022, in order to take part in the pilot.*
- Settings who are not currently taking part in the evaluation of the Department for Education's Early Years Professional Development Programme.
- Settings who agree to all study requirements outlined here and sign a data sharing agreement.

What will taking part in the pilot study involve?

As part of the pilot study we would like your setting to:

1. Hand-out information packs (containing parent/carer information sheets and consent forms) and questionnaires to parents/carers of children aged 2-4 years old. *Parents/carers do not have to provide consent for their child(ren) to take part in the pilot study if they do not want to and can withdraw their consent if they change their mind, however all children will receive the Maths Champions programme within your setting.*
2. Complete a short assessment in January 2020 called ASPECTS with children: whose parents have provided consent, are aged 3, attend nursery for at least 15 hours per week and will move to reception class in September 2020. Children complete ASPECTS with an adult (e.g. their key worker or room leader) on a computer and it usually takes around 10 minutes. Children tend to enjoy using ASPECTS as the computer tells a story and then asks the children to answer questions about it. A 30-minute pre-recorded, online training session will be provided on how to set-up and run ASPECTS. ASPECTS is often used to complement practitioner's own observations, so you may find it useful that ASPECTS feedback (on a child's literacy, maths and motor development) will be available for you to view and can be printed for parents/carers after the assessment is complete. In June 2020, we will arrange for a research assistant to visit your setting to complete ASPECTS again with the same children. Research assistants have DBS clearance, have completed safeguarding training and are used to working with young children. The child's key worker will need to be available to chaperone during the assessment to ensure children feel comfortable. We will ask you to tell us when the children usually attend the nursery so that we can visit on a day(s) when the most children are there and liaise with you on the best day(s) to visit. So that we can complete ASPECTS we will ask you to provide us with information on a child's new nursery setting should they leave before June 2020 (parent/carers will have consented to allow us to do this). Further information on the ASPECTS assessment can be found here: <http://www.cem.org/early-years>. In order for the ASPECTS assessment to be conducted CEM will collect child name, date of birth, gender, year group and class. Information on CEM's use of personal data can be found in their Privacy Notice, available here: <https://www.cem.org/privacy-notice>
3. If possible complete the Ages and Stages Questionnaire-3 (ASQ-3) in January 2020 with children: whose parents/carers have provided consent, are aged 2 by 1st Jan 2020, attend nursery for at least 15 hours per week and are due to move to reception class in September 2021 or September 2022. The ASQ-3 is a paper questionnaire that captures child development and takes approximately 10-15 minutes to complete by a child's key worker. In February 2021, we will ask you to complete ASPECTS with these children, or arrange for a research assistant to visit your setting to complete ASPECTS in which case the child's key worker will need to be available to chaperone during the assessment to ensure children feel comfortable. For more information on the ASQ-3, see <https://agesandstages.com>.

4. Provide information on the number of children within your setting attending for >15 hours per week. For all children whose parents/carers have provided consent to do so, we will also ask you to share children's personal information including forename, surname, date of birth, gender, home postcode, Early Years Pupil Premium status, attendance at nursery per week, new nursery destination (if they move during the study) (a data sharing agreement will be put in place detailing the specifics of this). Please see the 'parent information sheet' on how we will collect, store, use and report children's data.

Relevant staff within your setting will be asked to complete a short questionnaire and may be asked to participate in one or two interviews during the pilot study, separate consent would be sought for this.

Who is running the research trial? The EEF appointed an 'evaluation team' from York Trials Unit at the University of York (YTU) and Durham University (DU) to run this pilot study which is led by Principal Investigators Hannah Ainsworth (YTU), Dr Lyn Robinson-Smith (YTU) and Professor Carole Torgerson (DU).

Has the trial received ethical approval? The pilot study has received ethical approval from the University of York Health Sciences Research Governance Committee.

What will happen to data collected as part of the pilot study? All information collected as part of this pilot study will be processed and stored in accordance with the General Data Protection Regulation (2018) and the Data Protection Act (2018). A detailed data sharing agreement will be put in place between your setting and the evaluation team. For detailed information on how children's personal data will be collected, used, stored and reported please read the parent information and FAQ sheet. All results will be anonymised so that no nurseries or individual children will be identifiable in the report or dissemination of any results.

Where can I find out the results of the Maths Champions pilot study? At the end of the project a final report, which does not identify any individuals or nurseries, will be made publically available on the EEF website (<https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk>), for anyone who is interested in the findings of the research.

Who do you contact if you have any questions?

<p>Questions about the Maths Champions programme? Please contact NDNA: Maths Champions Team National Day Nurseries Association National Early Years Enterprise Centre Longbow Close Huddersfield HD2 1GQ Email: MCstudy@ndna.org.uk Telephone: 01484 407078</p>	<p>Questions about the research? Please contact the Evaluation Team: Maths Champions II Evaluation Team York Trials Unit 1st Floor, ARRC Building University Of York YORK YO10 5DD Email: ytu-mc2@york.ac.uk Tel: 01904 321702</p>
--	--

Interested in taking part? Next Steps If your nursery would like to participate in the pilot study, please read, complete and sign the Memorandum of Understanding [MCII Pilot Study Information for Settings and MoU v1.0 20191113] and return to Maths Champions Team, National Day Nurseries Association, National Early Years Enterprise Centre, Longbow Close, Huddersfield, HD2 1GQ as soon as possible.

Evaluation of the Maths Champions Programme: Pilot Study

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

Below we summarise the requirements of nurseries taking part in the Pilot Study. Please read these carefully and, if you are happy to take part, please read and initial beside each statement and sign the relevant sections below.

- We confirm we have read the Information for Settings and Settings FAQ sheet [v1.0 20191113].
- We confirm the setting will nominate appropriate members of staff to be the Maths Champion and the Deputy Maths Champions, who will undertake online training, run the Maths Champions programme within the setting, and act as a point of contact for the Evaluation Team and NDNA.
- We confirm our setting is committed to completing the Maths Champions programme.
- We agree to share with the Evaluation Team the number of children who are due to start school in September 2020 and attend nursery for a minimum of 15 hours per week (Cohort 1) and the number of children who are due to start school in September 2021 or September 2020, are aged 2 by 1st Jan 2020, and attend nursery for a minimum of 15 hours per week (Cohort 2).
- We agree to distribute relevant information to parent/carers (information sheets, consent forms and questionnaire) of all eligible children and return them to the Evaluation Team.
- We agree to complete the ASPECTS assessment with all eligible 3 year old children whose parents have provided consent.
- We agree to complete the ASQ-3 with all eligible 2 year old children whose parents have provided consent.
- We agree to facilitate a visit(s) by a research assistant to complete ASPECTS with relevant children during June 2020.
- We agree to conduct or facilitate a visit(s) by a research assistant to complete ASPECTS with relevant children during February 2021.
- We agree to return completed questionnaires and to consider participating in scheduled interview(s).
- We agree to share with the Evaluation Team requested details about participating children whose parents have provided consent. A data sharing agreement will be put in place detailing the specifics of this.
- We agree to notify NDNA, as soon as possible, if there are any issues which could affect continuing the programme in your setting.
- We agree to notify NDNA and the Evaluation Team, at the earliest opportunity, if the nursery has issues which could affect the continuation of the Maths Champion programme. If our setting chooses to withdraw from the Maths Champion programme, where possible we agree to still allow assessment data to be collected for the evaluation.
- We agree for this setting to take part in the pilot study for the evaluation of the Maths Champions programme and accept the terms and conditions outlined in the Information for Nurseries and MoU document [v1.0 20191113].

Nursery setting name: _____ Nursery postcode: _____

Nursery Manager name: _____

Nursery Manager signature: _____ Date: ____/____/____

Email address: _____

Telephone number: _____

Name of nominated Maths Champion: _____

Email address: _____

Signature of nominated Maths Champion: _____

Name of nominated Deputy Maths Champion: _____

Email address: _____

Signature of nominated Deputy Maths Champion: _____

Thank you for agreeing to take part in this research. Please return this form to:

Maths Champions Team
National Day Nurseries Association
National Early Years Enterprise Centre
Longbow Close
Huddersfield
HD2 1GQ

Appendix C: Parent/Carer Information Sheet and Consent Form for Pilot Trial

MC II Pilot Study Information for Parents Carers 3-4yo V1.0 20191220

Evaluation of the Maths Champions Programme: Pilot Study

INFORMATION FOR PARENTS/CARERS OF 3-4 YEAR OLDS

Why is this research important?

Previous research tells us that maths is one of the areas where children perform least well and that children who don't have a good start in developing mathematical skills continue to be behind throughout life. Your child's nursery is taking part in this important pilot study and will deliver a programme developed by the National Day Nurseries Association (NDNA; ndna.org.uk/) called 'Maths Champions'.

The Maths Champions programme aims to help children to develop the mathematical skills they need and this is all done through play within their nursery.

The Education Endowment Foundation (EEF), a charity whose aim is to build the evidence for what works in raising attainment, have funded the Evaluation Team (University of York and Durham University) to conduct this research, to understand more fully how Maths Champions supports children's development. This pilot study will find out how we can best collect the information we require from nurseries, parents/carers and children before we conduct a much bigger research project.

You are receiving this information sheet as your child is 3 or 4 years old, attends nursery for at least 15 hours per week and is due to start school in September 2020. This information sheet provides you with details about what the pilot study will involve for you and your child.



What does the Maths Champions programme involve?




Your child's nursery will appoint a member of staff as a 'Maths Champion' and a member of staff as a 'Deputy Maths Champion'. Together the nominated Champions will run the Maths Champions programme in your child's nursery. From January 2020, the nominated Champions will complete online training, have access to lots of online resources, and will work with all the staff within the nursery to improve maths practice for all children, with lots of support from NDNA. The Maths champions programme will run for 12 months, until December 2020.

You can ask the nominated Champions any questions you may have about the programme. If you would like more information on NDNA's Maths Champions programme, please contact NDNA using the details at the end of this information sheet or visit: ndna.org.uk/childcare-training-maths-champions

What does the pilot study involve for my child?

We would like your child to complete two short, child-friendly assessments called ASPECTS which will tell us about their emerging maths and language development skills. Children tend to enjoy doing ASPECTS as a computer tells a story and then asks the children to answer questions about it. It usually takes about 10 minutes. If your child does not want to do ASPECTS on the day, they do not have to. Your child's nursery will be able to share your child's ASPECTS assessment with you if you want. For more information, visit: cem.org/aspects.

- Around January 2020: your child will complete ASPECTS with a member of nursery staff, most likely their 'key worker' or with a research assistant from the Evaluation Team.
- Around June 2020: your child will complete ASPECTS with a research assistant from the Evaluation Team. If your child moves to a different nursery before June 2020, we may arrange for a research assistant to visit their new setting to complete ASPECTS with them. We will ask your child's nursery to let us know which new nursery your child has moved to, but if they don't know we will contact you to find out.



All research assistants who sit with your child to complete ASPECTS have:

- Experience working with young children
- Completed safeguarding and data protection training and
- Undergone all the necessary checks including a recent Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) certificate check.

What information is collected about me and my child?

We would like to collect information about your child from your child's nursery, including:

- your child's full name
- date of birth
- gender
- home postcode
- Early Years Pupil Premium status
- eligibility for funded childcare
- attendance at nursery per week
- ASPECTS assessment reports.



- new nursery destination if applicable

If your child's nursery does not have any of this information we will contact you to collect it, so we are collecting your contact information for this purpose. None of the information collected about your child as part of this pilot study will affect your child's place at nursery. The Frequently Asked Questions section below tells you more about how we will use, store and share the information collected in the project.

In order for the ASPECTS assessment to be conducted, the Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring (CEM) who host the assessment will collect your child's name, date of birth, gender, year group and class. Information on CEM's use of personal data can be found in their Privacy Notice: cem.org/privacy-notice

What do I need to do now?

If you are happy for your child to take part in the pilot study of Maths Champions, please complete the attached Parent/Carer Consent Form and return it to your child's nursery as soon as possible.

If you do not return a valid consent form, assessments will not be carried out with your child for the pilot study evaluation and no information about your child will be shared with the Evaluation Team. All children at participating nurseries will be involved in Maths Champions even if you choose for them not to take part in the research elements of the pilot study.

Frequently Asked Questions

Is my child's participation in the research confidential? All participant data will be treated with the strictest confidence and will be stored in compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and Data Protection Act 2018. We will not use your name, your child's name or the name of participating nurseries in any report arising from the research.

Can we withdraw from the project? If you complete and return a consent form and then change your mind you are free to withdraw your child at any time by telling your child's nursery, who will then communicate this with the Evaluation Team, or by contacting us directly using the contact details provided. If you would prefer your child NOT to take part in any project assessments, or their data not to be processed as above, please DO NOT return a completed and signed Consent Form.

Questions or concerns: If you have any questions about this information sheet or concerns about how your child's data is being processed, please contact the evaluation team at ytu-mc2@york.ac.uk in the first instance. You may also contact the University of York's Data Protection Officer at dataprotection@york.ac.uk.

What is the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF)? The EEF is an independent charity founded in 2011 with funding from the Department of Education. Its aim is to build the evidence for what works in raising attainment. Ultimately, this means demonstrating the impact of its projects on children's attainment at Key Stage 1, Key Stage 2 and GCSE, with some projects now also evaluating impact on attainment post 16 and the early years. More information can be found at: educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/

Who is the Data Controller? For the purposes of this project, the University of York is the data controller as defined in the GDPR. Once the data has been submitted to the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for archiving in the EEF data archive and passed quality checks, the EEF holds data controller responsibility for the data.

How do we keep your data and your child's data secure? The University takes information security extremely seriously and has implemented appropriate technical and organisational measures to protect data. Access to information is restricted on a need-to-know basis and security arrangements are regularly reviewed to ensure their continued suitability. Further information about how we will use the information provided about your child can be found at: york.ac.uk/healthsciences/research/trials/trials-gdpr/

Under what legal basis do we process your data and your child's personal data? Personal data will be processed under Article 6 (1) (e) (*Processing necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest*) and Special Category data under Article 9 (2) (j) (*Processing necessary for ... scientific ... research purposes*) of the General Data Protection Regulation (2018).

How long will we keep your data and your child's data? All individually identifiable data held by the evaluation team will be destroyed 5 years after the end of the study (2027). Data submitted to the ONS archive will include individually identifiable data and is kept indefinitely for the purposes of future research.

Who will your data and your child's data be shared with and why? Your information and contact details will only be used by the Evaluation Team for the purposes of this project. For the purposes of future research, all the information collected about your child in this research project will be shared by the Evaluation Team, with the Department for Education, the EEF's archive manager and, in an anonymised form, with the Office for National Statistics and potentially other research teams.

Identifiable information about your child will be shared with the Department for Education in order to collect routinely collected information about your child (this is known as 'matching'). The Department for Education can provide information about your child's future educational development which is collected through the National Pupil Database. Further matching to the National Pupil Database and other administrative data may take place during subsequent research. There will be no international data transfers outside of the EU.

What rights do you have in relation to your data and your child's data? Under the GDPR, you have a right of access to your data and your child's data, a right to rectification, erasure (in certain circumstances), restriction, objection or portability (in certain circumstances). Further information can be found at: york.ac.uk/healthsciences/research/trials/trials-gdpr/research-participants/

Right to complain: If you are unhappy with the way the University has handled your data or your child's personal data, you have a right to complain to the Information Commissioner's Office. For information on reporting a concern to the Information Commissioner's Office, see ico.org.uk/concerns. If you would like to make a general complaint about this study, you can contact Dr Louise Tracey (louise.tracey@york.ac.uk) who is not directly involved in this research.

Has the evaluation received ethical approval? The University of York, Health Sciences Research Governance Committee is reviewing the ethical standards of this project. The project has received ethical approval from the committee for the aspects of the research detailed in this information sheet.

Where can I find out the results of the evaluation? The evaluation team have to produce a final evaluation report. This is due in January 2022 and will be published by the EEF on their website (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk); this final report will not name any schools or individual children.

Who do I contact if I have further questions?

NDNA contact details:

Maths Champions Team
National Day Nurseries Association
National Early Years Enterprise Centre

Evaluation Team contact details:

Maths Champions II Evaluation Team
York Trials Unit
1st Floor, ARRC Building

Longbow Close
Huddersfield
HD2 1GQ

Email: MCstudy@ndna.org.uk
Tel: 01484 407078

University Of York
YORK
YO10 5DD

Email: ytu-mc2@york.ac.uk
Tel: 01904 321702

THANK YOU FOR READING THIS INFORMATION

Evaluation of the Maths Champions Programme: Pilot Study

CONSENT FORM FOR PARENTS/CARERS OF 3-4 YEAR OLDS

If you are happy for your child to participate in the pilot study, please read each of the following statements and if you agree to them initial the boxes, fill in the other details and return to your child's nursery.

Please Initial

1. I confirm that I have read and understood the information sheet for parent/carers of 3-4 year olds (V1.0 20191220) about the 'Evaluation of the Maths Champions Programme: Pilot Study' and have had the opportunity to ask any questions about the study and any questions have been answered to my satisfaction. Initials

2. I understand that our participation is voluntary and that I can withdraw my child from the research study at any time without giving a reason, and without my child's nursery provision being affected, by telling my child's nursery or contacting the Evaluation Team. Initials
3. I agree to the Evaluation Team holding copies of this consent form and my contact details to allow them to contact me for details about my child. Initials
4. I agree for my child's nursery to provide the Evaluation Team with information about my child outlined in the information sheet. Initials
5. I understand that any information collected for this study, including personal data, will be kept confidential and stored securely. Initials
6. I agree for my child to take part in the assessments, outlined in the information sheet, while they are at nursery. Initials
7. As part of the ASPECTS assessment I understand that the Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring (CEM) at Cambridge Assessment will collect information about my child, including name, date of birth, gender, year group and class. Initials
8. I understand and agree that for the purposes of this research and future research, the information collected about my child in this research study will be shared by the Evaluation Team, with the Department for Education, the EEF's archive manager and, in an anonymised form, with the Office for National Statistics and potentially other research teams. Initials
9. **I agree that my child and I will take part in the Maths Champions Pilot Study.** Initials

PLEASE TURN OVER AND COMPLETE THE OTHER SIDE

Child's name: _____

Child's Date of Birth: *d d / m m / y y y y*

		/			/	2	0		
--	--	---	--	--	---	---	---	--	--

Child's nursery setting: _____

Parent/Carer Contact Telephone Number: _____

Parent/Carer Contact Email Address: _____

Print name

Name of Parent/Carer (*please print*)

Signature

Signature of Parent/Carer

d d / m m / y y y y

		/			/	2	0		
--	--	---	--	--	---	---	---	--	--

Date

Thank you for agreeing for your child to take part in this evaluation. Please return this form to your child's nursery as soon as possible.

Evaluation of the Maths Champions Programme: Pilot Study

INFORMATION FOR PARENTS/CARERS OF 2 YEAR OLDS

Why is this research important?

Previous research tells us that maths is one of the areas where children perform least well and that children who don't have a good start in developing mathematical skills continue to be behind throughout life. Your child's nursery is taking part in this important pilot study and will deliver a programme developed by the National Day Nurseries Association (NDNA; ndna.org.uk/) called 'Maths Champions'.

The Maths Champions programme aims to help children to develop the mathematical skills they need and this is all done through play within their nursery.

The Education Endowment Foundation (EEF), a charity whose aim is to build the evidence for what works in raising attainment, have funded the Evaluation Team (University of York and Durham University) to conduct this research, to understand more fully how Maths Champions supports children's development. This pilot study will find out how we can best collect the information we require from nurseries, parents/carers and children before we conduct a much bigger research project.

You are receiving this information sheet as your child turned 2 years old by 1st January 2020, attends nursery for at least 15 hours per week and is due to start school in September 2021 or September 2022. This information sheet provides you with details about what the pilot study will involve for you and your child.



What does the Maths Champions programme involve?




Your child's nursery will appoint a member of staff as a 'Maths Champion' and a member of staff as a 'Deputy Maths Champion'. Together the nominated Champions will run the Maths Champions programme in your child's nursery. From January 2020, the nominated Champions will complete online training, have access to lots of online resources, and will work with all the staff within the nursery to improve maths provision for all children, with lots of support from NDNA, with the aim of developing children's skills in maths. The Maths Champions programme will run for 12 months, until December 2020.

You can ask the nominated Champions any questions you may have about the programme. If you would like more information on NDNA's Maths Champions programme, please contact NDNA using the details at the end of this information sheet or visit: ndna.org.uk/childcare-training-maths-champions

What does the pilot study involve for my child?

- Around January 2020, we would ask a member of nursery staff, most likely your child's 'key worker' to complete the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ-3) about your child. The 'key worker' answers questions about a child's development based on their observations. Your child's nursery will be able to share your child's ASQ-3 assessment with you if you want. For more information: agesandstages.com



- In February 2021, we would also like your child to complete a short, child-friendly assessment called ASPECTS, which will tell us about their emerging maths and language development. Your child will complete ASPECTS with a member of nursery staff, most likely their 'key worker' or with a research assistant from the Evaluation Team. Children tend to enjoy doing ASPECTS as a computer tells a story and then asks the children to answer questions about it. It usually takes about 10 minutes. If your child does not want to do ASPECTS on the day, they do not have to. Your child's nursery will be able to share your child's ASPECTS assessment with you if you want. For more information: cem.org/aspects. If your child moves to a different nursery before February 2021, we may arrange for a research assistant to visit their new setting to complete ASPECTS with them. We will ask your child's nursery to let us know which new nursery your child has moved to, but if they don't know we will contact you to find out.

All research assistants who may sit with your child to complete ASPECTS have:

- Experience working with young children
- Completed safeguarding and data protection training
- Undergone all the necessary checks including a recent Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) certificate check

What information is collected about me and my child?

We would like to collect information about your child from your child's nursery, including:

- your child's full name
- date of birth
- gender
- home postcode
- Early Years Pupil Premium status
- eligibility for funded childcare
- attendance at nursery per week
- ASQ-3 and ASPECTS assessment reports
- new nursery destination if applicable



If your child's nursery does not have any of this information we will contact you to collect it, so we are collecting your contact information for this purpose. None of the information collected about your child as part of this pilot study will affect your child's place at nursery. The Frequently Asked Questions section below tells you more about how we will use, store and share the information collected in the project.

In order for the ASPECTS assessment to be conducted, the Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring (CEM) who host the assessment, will collect your child's name, date of birth, gender, year group and class. Information on CEM's use of personal data can be found in their Privacy Notice: cem.org/privacy-notice

What do I need to do now?

If you are happy for your child to take part in the pilot study of Maths Champions, please complete the attached Parent/Carer Consent Form and return it to your child's nursery as soon as possible.

If you do not return a valid consent form, assessments will not be carried out with your child for the pilot study evaluation and no information about your child will be shared with the Evaluation Team. All children at

Frequently Asked Questions

participating nurseries will be involved in Maths Champions even if you choose for them not to take part in the research elements of the pilot study.

Is my child's participation in the research confidential? All participant data will be treated with the strictest confidence and will be stored in compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and Data Protection Act 2018. We will not use your name, your child's name or the name of participating nurseries in any report arising from the research.

Can we withdraw from the project? If you complete and return a consent form and then change your mind you are free to withdraw your child at any time by telling your child's nursery, who will then communicate this with the Evaluation Team, or by contacting us directly using the contact details provided. If you would prefer your child NOT to take part in any project assessments, or their data not to be processed as above, please DO NOT return a completed and signed Consent Form.

Questions or concerns: If you have any questions about this information sheet or concerns about how your child's data is being processed, please contact the evaluation team at ytu-mc2@york.ac.uk in the first instance. You may also contact the University of York's Data Protection Officer at dataprotection@york.ac.uk.

What is the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF)? The EEF is an independent charity founded in 2011 with funding from the Department of Education. Its aim is to build the evidence for what works in raising attainment. Ultimately, this means demonstrating the impact of its projects on children's attainment at Key Stage 1, Key Stage 2 and GCSE, with some projects now also evaluating impact on attainment post 16 and the early years. More information can be found at: educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/

Who is the Data Controller? For the purposes of this project, the University of York is the data controller as defined in the GDPR. Once the data has been submitted to the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for archiving in the EEF data archive and passed quality checks, the EEF holds data controller responsibility for the data.

How do we keep your data and your child's data secure? The University takes information security extremely seriously and has implemented appropriate technical and organisational measures to protect data. Access to information is restricted on a need-to-know basis and security arrangements are regularly reviewed to ensure their continued suitability. Further information about how we will use the information provided about your child can be found at: york.ac.uk/healthsciences/research/trials/trials-gdpr/

Under what legal basis do we process your data and your child's personal data? Personal data will be processed under Article 6 (1) (e) (*Processing necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest*) and Special Category data under Article 9 (2) (j) (*Processing necessary for ... scientific ... research purposes*) of the General Data Protection Regulation (2018).

How long will we keep your data and your child's data? All individually identifiable data held by the evaluation team will be destroyed 5 years after the end of the study (2027). Data submitted to the ONS archive will include individually identifiable data and is kept indefinitely for the purposes of future research.

Who will your data and your child's data be shared with and why? Your information and contact details will only be used by the Evaluation Team for the purposes of this project. For the purposes of future research, all the information collected about your child in this research project will be shared by the Evaluation Team, with the Department for Education, the EEF's archive manager and, in an anonymised form, with the Office for National Statistics and potentially other research teams.

Identifiable information about your child will be shared with the Department for Education in order to collect routinely collected information about your child (this is known as 'matching'). The Department for Education can provide information about your child's future educational development which is collected through the National Pupil Database. Further matching to the National Pupil Database and other administrative data may take place during subsequent research. There will be no international data transfers outside of the EU.

What rights do you have in relation to your data and your child's data? Under the GDPR, you have a right of access to your data and your child's data, a right to rectification, erasure (in certain circumstances), restriction, objection

or portability (in certain circumstances). Further information can be found at: york.ac.uk/healthsciences/research/trials/trials-gdpr/research-participants/

Right to complain: If you are unhappy with the way the University has handled your data or your child's personal data, you have a right to complain to the Information Commissioner's Office. For information on reporting a concern to the Information Commissioner's Office, see: ico.org.uk/concerns. If you would like to make a general complaint about this study, you can contact Dr Louise Tracey (louise.tracey@york.ac.uk) who is not directly involved in this research.

Has the evaluation received ethical approval? The University of York, Health Sciences Research Governance Committee is reviewing the ethical standards of this project. The project has received ethical approval from the committee for the aspects of the research detailed in this information sheet.

Where can I find out the results of the evaluation? The evaluation team have to produce a final evaluation report. This is due in January 2022 and will be published by the EEF on their website (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk); this final report will not name any schools or individual children.

Who do I contact if I have further questions?

NDNA contact details:

Maths Champions Team
National Day Nurseries Association
National Early Years Enterprise Centre
Longbow Close
Huddersfield
HD2 1GQ

Email: MCstudy@ndna.org.uk
Tel: 01484 407078

Evaluation Team contact details:

Maths Champions II Evaluation Team
York Trials Unit
1st Floor, ARRC Building
University Of York
YORK
YO10 5DD

Email: ytu-mc2@york.ac.uk
Tel: 01904 321702

Evaluation of the Maths Champions Programme: Pilot Study

CONSENT FORM FOR PARENTS/CARERS OF 2 YEAR OLDS

If you are happy for your child to participate in the pilot study, please read each of the following statements and if you agree to them initial the boxes, fill in the other details and return to your child's nursery.

Please Initial

1. I confirm that I have read and understood the information sheet for parent/carers of 2 year olds (V1.0 20191220) about the 'Evaluation of the Maths Champions Programme: Pilot Study' and have had the opportunity to ask any questions about the study and any questions have been answered to my satisfaction.

2. I understand that our participation is voluntary and that I can withdraw my child from the research study at any time without giving a reason, and without my child's nursery provision being affected, by telling my child's nursery or contacting the Evaluation Team.
3. I agree to the Evaluation Team holding copies of this consent form and my contact details to allow them to contact me for details about my child.
4. I agree for my child's nursery to provide the Evaluation Team with information about my child outlined in the information sheet.
5. I understand that any information collected for this study, including personal data, will be kept confidential and stored securely.
6. I agree for my child to take part in the assessments, outlined in the information sheet, while they are at nursery.
7. As part of the ASPECTS assessment I understand that the Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring (CEM) at Cambridge Assessment will collect information about my child, including name, date of birth, gender, year group and class.
8. I understand and agree that for the purposes of this research and future research, the information collected about my child in this research study will be shared by the Evaluation Team, with the Department for Education, the EEF's archive manager and, in an anonymised form, with the Office for National Statistics and potentially other research teams.
9. **I agree that my child and I will take part in the Maths Champions Pilot Study.**

Initials
Initials
Initials
Initials
Initials
Initials
Initials
Initials
Initials

PLEASE TURN OVER AND COMPLETE THE OTHER SIDE

Child's name: _____

Child's Date of Birth: *d d / m m / y y y y*

		/			/	2	0		
--	--	---	--	--	---	---	---	--	--

Child's nursery setting: _____

Parent/Carer Contact Telephone Number: _____

Parent/Carer Contact Email Address: _____

Print name

Name of Parent/Carer (*please print*)

Signature

Signature of Parent/Carer

d d / m m / y y y y

		/			/	2	0		
--	--	---	--	--	---	---	---	--	--

Date

Thank you for agreeing for your child to take part in this evaluation. Please return this form to your child's nursery as soon as possible.



Maths Champions Programme

Research trial

Do you want to be part of an important study to improve young children's mathematical development? We are inviting applications from early years providers (including school based and PVI) to take part in a research trial for our Maths Champions Programme.

Maths Champions is a programme developed by NDNA that aims to improve maths skills of children in early years by training 'Champions' in nurseries.

We are running a research trial, funded by the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF), to evaluate the Maths Champions Programme. As part of the trial, half the participants will receive the programme for FREE (usually £400 for members).

All participants receive £500

Who is eligible to take part?

- Private, voluntary, independent providers based on non-domestic premises/maintained or school nurseries providing nursery provision for three and four-year-olds
- Settings wishing to participate cannot currently be part of other EEF trials, the Department for Education (DfE) Early Years Professional Development Programme or have previously accessed Maths Champions
- Settings must be able to commit to the expectations of the programme and evaluation.

What does the research trial involve?

As part of the trial, 69 settings will be chosen at random to receive the Maths Champions programme for FREE. The other 69 will continue as normal and form the important 'control group'.

Randomly selecting which settings receive the programme is essential to find out the impact the programme has on children's attainment.

If selected to be part of the trial your nursery will receive £500 whether you are assigned to receive the full Maths Champions Programme or form part of the important control group. All settings will also:

- Inform and gain consent from parent/carers for children's participation in the research trial
- Share setting and child information with the research team
- Work with the research team to complete assessments (taking 10 minutes per child) in September 2021 and June 2022.



Find out more and register your interest at:
www.ndna.org.uk/maths-research-trial

What does the Maths Champions Programme involve?

Participating nurseries will nominate a Maths Champion (graduate or level 3 in early years leading practice in the setting) and Deputy Maths Champion (qualified to a minimum of level 3 in early years) who will complete:

- An online induction to the programme
- A series of short online courses including: developing mathematical confidence in early years, effective coaching, number and shape, space and measure - taking up to two hours each
- Working with the Maths Champion programme lead from NDNA to audit current practice and plan for improvement
- Ten core activities with children in your setting.



What are the benefits for my nursery?


- ALL settings who are selected to take part will receive £500
- A previous study of Maths Champions found a positive impact on children's maths attainment
- The Maths Champions programme is fully funded and access is entirely online so there is no cost for releasing staff. The programme will commence in October 2021 once all parent/carer forms are complete and you will have 12 months access to the online programme, if randomly allocated to receive it.

Are you interested in taking part?

- 🌐 Find out more at www.ndna.org.uk/maths-research-trial
- ✉ Contact us at MCStudy@ndna.org.uk
- ☎ Call 01484 407078



This research trial is funded by the Education Endowment Foundation, partnered with the Department for Education. The team of researchers running the research trial are based at the University of York and Durham University.



Appendix E: Brief Information for Settings for Main Trial



Information for Providers – Maths Champions Programme

National Day Nurseries Association (NDNA) is delighted to invite applications from early years' providers to take part in the Maths Champions programme trial.

Maths Champions aims to improve the maths skills of children in early years settings. This project will test the impact of training Maths Champions within nurseries: senior staff who are responsible for the quality of maths provision in their setting, and for building the confidence and skills of the practitioners working with children. The programme has been developed by the NDNA. Participating nurseries will nominate a designated lead as Maths Champion. They will have access to online CPD, resources, and one-to-one advice.

As part of the Early Years Professional Development Round, the EEF has partnered with the Department for Education to test the Maths Champions projects that support professional development of practitioners in the early years.

What Maths Champions involves:

- Nominate a Maths Champion (graduate or level 3 in early years leading practice in the setting) and Deputy Maths Champion (qualified to a minimum of level 3 in early years) who will participate in an online induction to the programme
- Complete a series of short online courses including developing mathematical confidence in early years, effective coaching, number and shape, space and measure.
- Work with the champion lead from NDNA to audit current practice and plan for improvement
- Complete 10 core activities with children in your setting
- Work with evaluators to complete pre and post assessments

What are the benefits for my nursery?

A smaller Maths Champions study has been previously carried out through an EEF trial which found a positive impact on maths attainment.

The programme is fully funded and access is entirely online so there is no cost for releasing staff. The programme will commence in June 2020 once all permission forms are complete and you will have 12 months access to the online programme.

Settings taking part will receive £500.

Who can take part?

- Private, voluntary, independent providers (PVI) based on non-domestic premises/maintained or school nurseries providing nursery provision for 3 and 4 year olds
- Settings wishing to participate cannot currently be part of other funded trials or have previously accessed Maths Champions.
- Settings must be able to commit to the expectations of the programme.

For further information or to register your interest, please email MCStudy@ndna.org.uk or complete the expression of interest form [here](#) before March 31st 2020.



Maths Champions II Research Project

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

What is this research about and why is it important?

Findings from the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile show that children perform least well in Maths (number and shape, space and measure) against other learning areas. Due to this, the National Day Nurseries Association (NDNA; ndna.org.uk) developed the 'Maths Champions' programme. The aim of the programme is to improve practice in maths across a whole early years setting by providing access to online training, evaluative tools, and resources to enhance maths practice and build the confidence and skills of practitioners working with young children.

We are looking for 138 nursery settings (private, voluntary, independent, maintained, or school-based state funded) to take part in a research project evaluating the NDNA's Maths Champions programme!

As part of the programme, settings nominate a lead practitioner to be the 'Maths Champion'. Where possible, the nominated Maths Champion should be an early year's teacher or graduate in early years. Where this is not possible, the nominated Maths Champion should be responsible for leading the quality of the EYFS and qualified to level 3 as a minimum. Settings also nominate a 'Deputy Maths Champion' (who is the room leader of 3 or 4 year old provision and qualified to at least level 3). Together they are supported by NDNA and provided with an online induction to the programme (1 hour in duration, you can attend a 'live' online induction or view a recording at a time that suits you best), access to 3 online training courses (up to 2 hours in duration and can be conducted at your own pace), one-to-one support by phone or web-conference, audit tools and a wide range of resources. These are used together to review, reflect on and improve practice. The Maths Champions programme is all online, so there is no need for staff to leave the nursery setting.



Previous research (funded by the Education Endowment Foundation, EEF, who are the government-designated 'What Works Centre for Education') found that children attending settings using the Maths Champions programme may make, on average, two months' additional progress in maths (report available here: educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/projects-and-evaluation/projects/maths-champions/). Based on these findings, the EEF have funded this second, larger research project to look further at the impact the Maths Champions programme may have on children's outcomes.

What does your setting get for taking part?

- Participation in research that aims to improve the evidence-base surrounding early years learning.
- £500 cash: your setting will receive £250 following the return of at least 10 parent/carer consent forms and before the first child assessments take place (September 2021) and £250 after the final assessments (June-July 2022) to support your setting to take part in the research project.
- A 50% of chance of receiving FREE access to the Maths Champions programme during the 2021-22 school year (further details provided below).

Who can take part in the project?



- Private, voluntary, or independent (PVI) nurseries, maintained nursery schools or children's centres, or state funded school-based nurseries.
- Settings willing to nominate a practitioner to be the Maths Champion, who will run the programme in your setting if your setting is randomly allocated to use the programme, and nominate a practitioner to be the Deputy Maths Champion, who will support the Maths Champion.
- Settings with onsite access to a computer with internet connection.
- Settings that are not currently using the NDNA Maths Champions programme and have not done so in the past.
- Settings that have a minimum of 15 children aged 3-4 year olds, who will attend the setting for a minimum of 15 hours a week and are due to start reception in September 2022.
- Settings who are not taking part in the evaluation of the Department for Education's Early Years Professional Development Programme or any other Early Years trials funded by the Education Endowment Foundation or similar funder.
- Settings that agree to all project requirements outlined here.

What will taking part in the project involve?

We would like your setting to complete the activities listed below. This is regardless of whether your setting is randomly allocated to use the Maths Champions programme (see box on the right):

1. Provide the number of children within your setting who are eligible for the evaluation (that is, children aged 3-4 years old, attending nursery for at least 15 hours a week, and due to move to reception class in September 2022), hand-out information sheets and consent forms to parents/carers of all eligible children, and collect in completed consent forms. **We would like your setting to gain parent/carers consent for at least 10 eligible children.**

- Children are not eligible if they have significant SEND, or EAL where an extreme language barrier exists, which would prevent them from accessing the assessment and/or would be distressed through completing the assessment (described below).
- We are asking for parents/carers to consent for their child(ren) to take part in the evaluation. This involves parents/carers agreeing to their child(ren) completing assessments (more detail in points 3 and 4) and for the setting to share information about them with the research team (more detail in point 2).
- Parents/carers do not have to provide consent for their child(ren) to take part in the evaluation if they do not want to and can withdraw their consent if they change their mind. *If your setting is randomly allocated to use the Maths Champions programme, all children will receive the programme within your setting.*

As part of the research project, 69 settings will be chosen at random to receive the Maths Champions programme for FREE. The other 69 will continue as normal and form the important 'control group'. Randomly allocating which settings receive the programme is essential, as it is the best way to find out the impact the programme has on children's attainment. This type of research is called a randomised controlled trial.

You will find out which group your setting has been allocated to in October 2021, after assessments are completed. Settings allocated to receive the programme will be inducted from mid-October 2021.

2. For all children whose parents/carers have provided consent, we will ask you to share children's personal information, including: forename; surname; date of birth; gender; home postcode; Early Years Pupil Premium status; if English is an Additional Language; eligibility for/receipt of government funded childcare at 2 years of age; the results of their Ages & Stages Questionnaire (ASQ-3) at 2 years old (if available); the results of their EYFS check at 2 years old (if available); attendance at nursery per week; new nursery destination (if they move during the study); and school destination at the end of the project. A Data Sharing Agreement between your early years setting and the evaluation team will be put in place detailing this. Please see the parent/carer information sheets for details on how we will collect, store, use and report children's data.
3. Complete a short assessment in September-October 2021 called ASPECTS with participating children whose parents/carers have provided consent.

- Children complete ASPECTS one-to-one with an adult (e.g. their key worker, room leader, teacher, teaching assistant, or research assistant) on a computer/laptop (not a tablet) and it usually takes around 10 minutes per child. Children tend to enjoy using ASPECTS as the computer tells a story and then asks the children to answer questions about it.
- A 15-minute pre-recorded, online training session will be provided on how to set-up and run ASPECTS.
- ASPECTS is often used to complement practitioner's own observations, so you may find it useful that ASPECTS feedback (on a child's literacy and maths) will be available for you to view and can be printed for parents/carers after the assessment is complete.
- If your setting gains parent/carer consent for more than 10 eligible children, we will select at random the 10 we would like you to assess.
- **If you think your setting may struggle to complete these assessments, we can arrange for a research assistant to visit your setting to complete ASPECTS with the relevant children. We talk more about research assistants below.**
- Further information on ASPECTS can be found here: cem.org/early-years. In order for the ASPECTS assessment to be conducted, CEM will collect: child name, date of birth, gender, year group and class. Information on CEM's use of personal data can be found in their Privacy Notice, available here: cem.org/privacy-notice



4. In June-July 2022, we will arrange for a research assistant to visit your setting to complete ASPECTS again with the same children.
 - Research assistants have DBS clearance, have completed safeguarding training, and are used to working with young children.
 - The child's key worker or a familiar staff member will need to be available to chaperone during the assessment to ensure the children feel comfortable.
 - We will ask you to tell us when the participating children usually attend nursery, so we can liaise with you to visit on a day(s) when most children are there.
 - To enable us to complete ASPECTS, we may ask you to provide us with information on a child's new nursery setting should they leave before June/July 2022 (parent/carers will have consented to allow us to do this).

5. We are undertaking a related but separate piece of research, exploring the accessibility and coverage of routinely collected Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ-3) data. In order for us to do this we may ask you to distribute a second information sheet and consent form to parents/carers who agreed to their child taking part in the ASPECTS assessments, to request their permission for us to access their child's ASQ-3 data, which is routinely completed at 2-years old and stored within an NHS Digital database.
6. Relevant practitioners within your setting will be asked to complete some short questionnaires/surveys and may be asked to participate in one or two interviews/focus groups during the project (separate consent would be sought for interviews/focus groups).



We will ask four settings who receive the Maths Champions programme to allow an assessor from A+ Education Ltd to visit for a day in October 2021 and again in June/July 2022 to complete the Early Childhood Environment Rates Scales-III (ECERS-3) and the Early Childhood Environment Rates Scales-Extended (ECERS-E). These are measures of nursery environment and provision and the ECERS-E has a specific focus on maths provision. If your nursery is selected to receive a visit, we will arrange a suitable date for the visits and you will receive feedback from ECERS-3 and ECERS-E following the final visit in June/July 2022.

Frequently asked questions

Who is running the research project? The EEF appointed an 'Evaluation Team' from York Trials Unit (YTU) and the Department of Education, at the University of York, and Durham University (DU) to run this project, which is led by Principal Investigators Hannah Ainsworth (YTU), Dr Lyn Robinson-Smith (YTU), and Victoria Menzies (DU). NDNA will deliver the Maths Champions programme to your setting.

Has the trial received ethical approval? The project has received ethical approval from the University of York Health Sciences Research Governance Committee. Separate ethical approval will be sought from an NHS Research Ethics Committee for exploring the accessibility and coverage of ASQ-3 data.

What will happen to data collected as part of the research project? All information collected as part of this research project will be processed and stored in accordance with the General Data Protection Regulation (2018) and the Data Protection Act (2018). A detailed data sharing agreement will be put in place between your setting and the Evaluation Team.

Who will children's data be shared with and why? For the purposes of this research, will we use children's names when communicating with you and any new settings that children attend, which we are informed about, in order to arrange ASPECTS assessments (but we won't use children's names in emails). The Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring (CEM) who host the ASPECTS assessment will collect children's name, date of birth, gender, year group and class/group.

For the purposes of this research, identifiable information about children will be shared with the Department for Education in order to make use of routinely collected information (this is known as 'matching'). The Department for Education can provide access to information about children's future educational development which is collected through the National Pupil Database (NPD).

To look at how the Maths Champions programme may impact on the long-term attainment of children, we will apply to the Department for Education to link to participating children's Early Years Foundation Stage Profile (EYFSP) results (completed at the end of Reception year) held within the NPD. To do this, identifiable child data provided by the setting (with consent of parents/carers) will be used to match to EYFSP data within the NPD. Specified members of the Evaluation Team will be granted access to this data within the Office for

National Statistics Secure Research Service (ONS SRS) in order to conduct analyses. Further matching to the NPD and other datasets or administrative data may take place during subsequent research and/or after archiving. There will be no international data transfers outside of the EU.

At the end of the project, data will be submitted to the Office for National Statistics Secure Research Service (ONS SRS) for archiving in the EEF data archive (managed by FFT Education) and will include data only individually identifiable to the Department for Education. Anonymous data may be kept indefinitely by the Evaluation Team and potentially shared with other research teams.

For the purposes of research, identifiable child data provided by the setting may be shared with NHS Digital in order to access Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ-3) data, held by NHS Digital, for children whose parent/carers have provided consent for this (there will be a separate parent/carer information sheet and consent form for this).

For further detailed information on how children’s personal data will be collected, used, stored and reported, please read the parent/carer information sheets. All results will be anonymised so that no nurseries or individual children will be identifiable in the report or dissemination of any results.

Where can I find out the results of the Maths Champions research project? At the end of the project a final report, which does not identify any individuals or nurseries, will be made publically available on the EEF website (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) for anyone who is interested in the findings of the research.

Who do I contact if I have further questions?

<p>Questions about the Maths Champions programme? Please contact NDNA: Maths Champions Team National Day Nurseries Association National Early Years Enterprise Centre Longbow Close Huddersfield HD2 1GQ</p> <p>Email: MCstudy@ndna.org.uk 01484 407078</p>	<p>Questions about the research project? Please contact the Evaluation Team: Maths Champions II Evaluation Team York Trials Unit 1st Floor, ARRC Building University Of York YORK YO10 5DD</p> <p>Tel: ytu-mc2@york.ac.uk Tel: 01904 321702</p>
---	--

Interested in taking part? Next Steps:

If your setting would like to participate in the project, please read, complete, and sign the ‘Memorandum of Understanding: Nursery Agreement’ (MC II Main Trial MoU v3.1 20210317) and return this as soon as possible by email or post to the Maths Champions Team at NDNA (see above for contact details).

Maths Champions II Research Project

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING: NURSERY AGREEMENT

Below we summarise the requirements of settings taking part in the research project. This form is to be completed by the nursery manager/head teacher. Please read these carefully and, if you are happy to take part, please initial beside each statement and complete the relevant sections overleaf.

1. We confirm we have read the Memorandum of Understanding (MC II Main Trial MoU v3.1 20210317) for the Maths Champions II research project. Initials
2. We confirm we will nominate appropriate members of staff from our setting to be the Maths Champion and the Deputy Maths Champion, who will undertake online training and run the Maths Champions programme within the setting, if our setting is randomly allocated to follow the programme, and who will act as a point of contact for the Evaluation Team and NDNA. Initials
3. We confirm our setting is committed to completing the Maths Champions programme if we are randomly allocated to follow the programme. Initials
4. We agree to share with the Evaluation Team the number of 3-4 year old children that attend our setting (those who would be due to start school in September 2022) and attend nursery for a minimum of 15 hours per week. Initials
5. We agree to distribute information sheets and consent forms to parent/carers of all eligible children, and facilitate the collection and return of at least 10 completed consent forms to the Evaluation Team (a courier collection will be arranged). Initials
6. We agree to share with the Evaluation Team requested details about participating children, whose parents/carers have provided consent (a data sharing agreement will be put in place by the Evaluation Team detailing the specifics of this). Initials
7. We agree to complete, or to facilitate a visit(s) by a research assistant to complete, the ASPECTS assessment with the relevant 3-4 year old children, whose parents/carers have provided consent, during September-October 2021. Initials
8. We agree to facilitate a visit(s) by a research assistant to complete ASPECTS with relevant participating children, whose parents/carers have provided consent, during June-July 2022. Initials
9. We agree to complete and return questionnaires and to consider participating in interviews/focus groups. Initials
10. We agree to notify NDNA and the Evaluation Team, at the earliest opportunity, if our setting has any issues that could affect the continuation of the Maths Champion programme within our setting, if we are allocated to deliver the programme. If our setting chooses to withdraw from the Maths Champion programme, where possible we agree to still allow assessment data to be collected for the evaluation. Initials
11. **We agree for this setting to take part in the Maths Champions II research project and accept the terms and conditions outlined in the Memorandum of Understanding (MC II Main Trial MoU v3.1 20210317).** Initials

Nursery setting/school name: _____

Setting/school address: _____

Setting/school postcode: _____

Nursery manager/head teacher name: _____

Nursery manager/head teacher signature: _____ Date: ____/____/____

Email address: _____ Telephone number: _____

Name of nominated Maths Champion: _____

Email address: _____

Name of nominated Deputy Maths Champion: _____

Email address: _____

Is your setting part of a nursery chain or a multi-academy trust (please cross)? Yes No

Please estimate the number of 3-4 year olds you will have in your setting during the 2021/22 academic year who will attend for at least 15 hours per week and start Reception class in September 2022: _____

Please select the provision type of your nursery setting (please cross 1 box):

Private/independent

Voluntary

Nursery class in a primary/infant school (state funded)

Maintained nursery school or children's centre

How many nursery staff in your setting have at least a degree-level/level 6 qualification in Early Years (e.g. Related Honours degree, Early Years Teacher, Qualified Teacher Status)? _____

Do you anticipate that your setting would have capacity to complete ASPECTS assessments (taking about 10 minutes per child) in September - mid-October 2021 with 10 participating children (please cross 1 box)?

Yes

No, please send a research assistant to complete these

Thank you for agreeing to take part in this research project. Please return this form to MCstudy@ndna.org.uk or by post to the below address as soon as possible:

Maths Champions Team
National Day Nurseries Association
National Early Years Enterprise Centre
Longbow Close
Huddersfield, HD2 1GQ

Appendix G: Parent/Carer Information Sheet and Consent Form for Main Trial

MC II Information for Parents Carers V1.4 20210709

Maths Champions II Research Project

INFORMATION FOR PARENTS/CARERS

Why is this research important?

Your child's nursery is taking part in the Maths Champions II research project. You are receiving this information sheet as your child is 3 or 4 years old, attends nursery for at least 15 hours per week and is due to start school in September 2022. This information sheet provides you with details about what the research project will involve for you and your child.

Previous research tells us that maths is one of the areas where children perform least well and that children who don't have a good start in developing mathematical skills continue to be behind throughout life. Maths Champions is a programme that has been developed by the National Day Nurseries Association (NDNA; ndna.org.uk/) with the aim of providing nursery staff with further training on how to help children develop their mathematical skills through play within nursery.



How will we find out if the Maths Champions programme works?

The Education Endowment Foundation (EEF) have funded the Evaluation Team (who are based at the University of York and Durham University) to run this research project which will help us understand how the Maths Champions programme may support children's development. As part of the research project, your child's nursery may use the Maths Champions programme.

To find out how well the programme works, some participating nurseries will use the programme this year and some nurseries will not. This is decided randomly by a computer. Your child's nursery care and education will continue as normal in nurseries who do not use the programme.

The Evaluation Team will compare children's assessments between nurseries that have used the programme and those that have not. **This type of research is called a randomised controlled trial.**

What does the Maths Champions programme involve?


If your child's nursery is randomly chosen to use the Maths Champions programme, your child's nursery will appoint a member of staff as a 'Maths Champion' and a member of staff as a 'Deputy Maths Champion'. Together the Champions will run the programme in your child's nursery. From October 2021, the nominated Champions will complete online training, have access to lots of online resources, and will work with all the staff within the nursery to improve maths practice for all children, with lots of support from NDNA. The Maths Champions programme will run for 7-8 months, until June 2022.

You can ask the nominated Champions any questions you may have about the programme. If you would like more information on NDNA's Maths Champions programme, please contact NDNA using the details at the end of this information sheet or visit: ndna.org.uk/childcare-training-maths-champions

What does the research project involve for my child?

We would like your child to complete a short, child-friendly assessment in August/September/October 2021 and again in June/July 2022. The assessment is called ASPECTS and will tell us about their emerging maths and language skills. Children tend to enjoy doing ASPECTS as a computer tells a story and then asks the child to answer questions about it. It usually takes about 10 minutes. If your child does not want to do ASPECTS on the day, they do not have to. Your child's nursery will be able to share your child's ASPECTS feedback with you if you want. For more information, visit: cem.org/aspects.

- Around August/September/October 2021: your child will complete ASPECTS with a member of nursery staff, most likely their 'key worker' or with a research assistant from the Evaluation Team. We talk more about research assistants below.
- Around June/July 2022: your child will complete ASPECTS with a research assistant from the Evaluation Team. If your child moves to a different nursery before June/July 2022, we may arrange for a research assistant to visit their new setting to complete ASPECTS with them. We will ask your child's nursery to let us know which new nursery your child has moved to, but if they don't know we will contact you to find out.



All research assistants who sit with your child to complete ASPECTS have:

- Experience working with young children.
- Completed safeguarding and data protection training,
- Undergone all the necessary checks including a recent Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) certificate check.

So we can see if the Maths Champions programme results in any longer-term changes to education, we will also access your child's Early Years Foundation Stage Profile feedback. This feedback is collected by reception teachers at the end of the first year school. We will do this by applying to a government database which stores this information. We explain more about this in the Frequently Asked Questions section.

What information is collected about me and my child?

We would like to collect information about your child from your child's nursery, including your child's:

- Full name
- Date of birth
- Gender
- Home postcode
- Attendance at nursery per week
- Early Years Pupil Premium status
- Early Years Foundation Stage check completed at 2 years old (if available)
- Ages & Stages Questionnaire (ASQ-3) usually completed when children are 2 years old (if available)
- Eligibility for/receipt of funded childcare at 2 years old
- ASPECTS assessment reports
- New nursery destination, if applicable
- Planned school destination
- If English is an additional language (EAL)

If your child's nursery does not have any of this information we will contact you to collect it, so we are requesting your contact information on the consent form for this purpose. None of the information collected about your child as part of this research project will affect your child's place at nursery. The Frequently Asked Questions section below tells you more about how we will use, store and share the information collected in the research project.

We will use Qualtrics Survey Software to collect your information and consent and possibly to collect information about your child in the future if your child's nursery is unable to provide it.



In order for the ASPECTS assessment to be conducted, the Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring (CEM) who host the assessment will collect your child's name, date of birth, gender, year group and class/group. Information on CEM's use of personal data can be found in their Privacy Notice: cem.org/privacy-notice

What do I need to do now?

If you are happy for your child to take part in the Maths Champions II research project, please complete the Parent/Carer Consent Form as soon as possible. Your nursery will provide you with a link to an electronic consent form or a paper version of the consent form.



If you do not complete a valid consent form, assessments will not be carried out with your child for the evaluation and no information about your child will be shared with the Evaluation Team. All children at nurseries who are chosen at random to receive the Maths Champions programme will be involved in Maths Champions as nursery staff will be using the programme across the whole nursery, even if you choose for them not to take part in the assessment elements of the research project.

Frequently Asked Questions

Is my child's participation in the research project confidential? All information collected will be treated with the strictest confidence and will be stored in compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and Data Protection Act 2018. We will not use your name, your child's name or the name of participating nurseries in any report arising from the research.

Can we withdraw from the project? If you complete and return/submit a consent form and then change your mind, you are free to withdraw your child at any time by telling your child's nursery, who will communicate this to us, or by contacting us directly using the contact details provided.

Questions or concerns: If you have any questions about this information sheet or concerns about how your child's data is being processed, please contact the Evaluation Team at ytu-mc2@york.ac.uk in the first instance. You may also contact the University of York's Data Protection Officer at dataprotection@york.ac.uk.

What is the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF)? The EEF is an independent charity founded in 2011 with funding from the Department for Education. Its aim is to build the evidence for what works in raising attainment. This means demonstrating the impact of its projects on children's attainment throughout school, with some projects also evaluating post 16 and early years attainment. For more information, visit: educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/

Who is the Data Controller? For the purposes of this project, the University of York is the data controller as defined in the GDPR. Once the data has been submitted to the Office for National Statistics Secure Research Service (ONS SRS) for archiving in the EEF data archive and passed quality checks, the EEF holds data controller responsibility.

How do we keep your data and your child's data secure? The University takes information security extremely seriously and has implemented appropriate technical and organisational measures to protect data. Access to information is restricted on a need-to-know basis and security arrangements are regularly reviewed to ensure their continued suitability. Further information about how we will use the information provided about your child can be found at: york.ac.uk/healthsciences/research/trials/trials-gdpr/

Under what legal basis do we process your data and your child's personal data? Personal data will be processed under Article 6 (1) (e) (*Processing necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest*) and Special Category data under Article 9 (2) (j) (*Processing necessary for ... scientific ... research purposes*) of the General Data Protection Regulation (2018).

How long will we keep your data and your child's data? All individually identifiable data held by the Evaluation Team will be destroyed 5 years after the end of the study (2028). Data submitted to the ONS SRS archive will include data only individually identifiable to the Department for Education (who are a government department responsible for children's services and education) and is kept indefinitely for the purposes of future research.

Who will your data and your child's data be shared with and why? Your information and contact details will only be used by the Evaluation Team for the purposes of this research project. For the purposes of this research, we will use your child's name when communicating with their current nursery and any new nursery your child attends, which we are informed about, in order to arrange ASPECTS assessments. We will use Qualtrics Survey Software to collect your information and consent and possibly to collect information about your child in the future if your child's nursery is unable to provide it. The Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring (CEM) who host the ASPECTS assessment will collect your child's name, date of birth, gender, year group and class/group.

For the purposes of this research, the Evaluation Team will share identifiable information about your child (e.g. name, date of birth, gender, home postcode, school destination, EAL status) with the Department for Education in order to link to your child's Early Years Foundation Stage Profile (EYFSP) assessment, which is completed by their teacher at the end of the first year at school and stored within the National Pupil Database (NPD). With the information we provide, the Department for Education will 'match' your child's details to their EYFSP assessment, and will allow specified members of the Evaluation Team to access this information within the ONS SRS to conduct analyses. The Evaluation Team will upload other evaluation data collected (e.g. assessment scores) to the ONS SRS for the analysis. This will help us understand if the Maths Champions programme has any long-term effects on children's education. Further matching to the NPD and other datasets or administrative data may take place during subsequent research and/or after archiving.

At the end of the research project, data will be submitted to the ONS SRS for archiving in the EEF data archive (managed by FFT Education) and will include data only individually identifiable to the Department for Education. It may be shared by FFT/EEF with other parties after archiving. Anonymous data may be kept indefinitely by the Evaluation Team and potentially shared with other research teams or archiving organisations.

What rights do you have in relation to your data and your child's data? Under the GDPR, you have a right of access to your data and your child's data, a right to rectification, erasure (in certain circumstances), restriction, objection or portability (in certain circumstances). Further information can be found at: york.ac.uk/healthsciences/research/trials/trials-gdpr/research-participants/

Right to complain: If you are unhappy with the way the University has handled your data or your child's personal data, you have a right to complain to the Information Commissioner's Office. For information on reporting a concern to the Information Commissioner's Office, see ico.org.uk/concerns. If you would like to make a general complaint about this study, you can contact Dr Louise Tracey (louise.tracey@york.ac.uk) who is not directly involved in this research.

Has the evaluation received ethical approval? The University of York Health Sciences Research Governance Committee is reviewing the ethical standards of this project. The project has received ethical approval from the committee for the aspects of the research detailed in this information sheet.

Where can I find out the results of the evaluation? The Evaluation Team have to produce a final evaluation report. This is due in April 2023 and will be published by the EEF on their website (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk); this final report will not name any nurseries/schools or individual children.

Who do I contact if I have further questions?

NDNA contact details:

Maths Champions Team
National Day Nurseries Association
National Early Years Enterprise Centre
Longbow Close
Huddersfield
HD2 1GQ

Email: MCstudy@ndna.org.uk
Tel: 01484 407078

Evaluation Team contact details:

Maths Champions II Evaluation Team
York Trials Unit
1st Floor, ARRC Building
University Of York
YORK
YO10 5DD

Email: ytu-mc2@york.ac.uk
Tel: 01904 321702

Maths Champions II Research Project

CONSENT FORM FOR PARENTS/CARERS

If you are happy for your child to participate in the Maths Champions II Research Project, please read each of the following statements and, if you agree to them, please initial the boxes, fill in the other details and return this form to your child's nursery setting.

Please Initial

1. I confirm that I have read and understood the information sheet for parents/carers (V1.4 20210709) for the Maths Champions II Research Project and have had the opportunity to ask any questions about the project and any questions have been answered to my satisfaction. *Initials*

2. I understand that participation is voluntary and that I can withdraw my child from the research project at any time without giving a reason, and without my child's nursery provision being affected, by telling my child's nursery or contacting the Evaluation Team. *Initials*
3. I agree for my child's nursery to provide the Evaluation Team with information about my child outlined in the information sheet. *Initials*
4. I agree to the Evaluation Team holding copies of this consent form and my contact details to allow them to contact me for details about my child if my child's nursery is not able to do so as outlined in the information sheet for parents/carers (V1.4 20210709). *Initials*
5. I understand that any information collected for this study, including personal data, will be kept confidential and stored securely. *Initials*
6. I agree for my child to take part in the assessments, outlined in the information sheet, while they are at nursery. *Initials*
7. As part of the ASPECTS assessment, I understand that the Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring (CEM) at Cambridge Assessment will collect identifiable information about my child. *Initials*
8. I understand that the Evaluation Team will share identifiable information about my child with the Department for Education for the purposes of this research, including to link to information about my child held within the National Pupil Database, as outlined in the information sheet for parents/carers. *Initials*
9. I understand that information collected about my child in this research study will be submitted to the Office for National Statistics Secure Research Service (ONS SRS) for analysis and archiving purposes as detailed in the information sheet for parents/carers. *Initials*
10. I understand that anonymous data may be kept indefinitely by the Evaluation Team and potentially shared with other research teams or archiving organisations. *Initials*
11. **I agree that my child and I will take part in the Maths Champions II Research Project.** *Initials*

In addition to the above statements, please initial the below box if you agree with the following optional statement. Your participation in this research project will not be affected if you do not agree with this statement.

I am happy to receive information about related further research projects.

Initials

Please complete the below information in block capitals:

Child's first name: _____

Child's surname: _____

d d / m m / y y y y

Child's Date of

--	--

 /

--	--

 /

2	0		
---	---	--	--

 Birth:

Child's nursery setting: _____

Was your child *eligible* to receive government funded childcare at 2 years old? (you may have received a letter from your local council telling you about this) (please cross)?

Yes No Don't Know

Did your child *receive* up to 15 hours government funded childcare at 2 years old (please cross)?

Yes No

Parent/Carer Contact Telephone Number: _____

Parent/Carer Contact Email Address: _____

Print name

Full Name of Parent/Carer (*please print*)

Signature

Signature of Parent/Carer

d d / m m / y y y y

--	--

 /

--	--

 /

2	0		
---	---	--	--

Today's Date

Thank you for agreeing for your child to take part in this research project. Please return this form to your child's nursery setting as soon as possible.

Nursery Setting ID:
(nursery setting to add)

--	--	--

Child ID:
(for office use only)

--	--	--	--	--	--

Maths Champions II Research Project

PRACTITIONER CONFIDENCE– MATHS: SURVEY (adapted from Chen et al., 2014⁴)

Your Confidence in Helping Nursery Aged Children Learn Maths

a. Please indicate how confident you feel about helping children aged 3-4 learn maths.

For each of the following statements, please cross one box which is most appropriate for you.

	<i>Strongly disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Neither agree nor disagree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Strongly agree</i>
I am confident in my knowledge of what the children in my classroom <u>know about maths when they enter nursery</u>					
I am confident in my knowledge of reasonable maths <u>goals</u> for nursery aged children					
I am confident in my knowledge of the <u>best practices and strategies</u> for helping nursery aged children learn maths					
I am confident in my knowledge of local or national maths <u>standards</u> for nursery aged children (for example, EYFS and EYFS profile results)					
I am confident in my knowledge of the best ways to <u>assess</u> children's maths knowledge and understanding throughout the year					

⁴ Chen, J. Q., McCray, J., Adams, M., & Leow, C. (2014). A Survey Study of Early Childhood Teachers' Beliefs and Confidence about Teaching Early Math. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 42(6), 367-377.

b. Please indicate how confident you feel in your own ability to help children aged 3-4 learn maths.

For each of the following statements, please cross one box which is most appropriate for you.

	<i>Strongly disagree</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Neither agree nor disagree</i>	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Strongly agree</i>
I am confident in my ability to <u>observe</u> what nursery aged children know about maths					
I am confident in my ability to <u>incorporate</u> maths learning into common nursery situations (such as art or dramatic play)					
I am confident in my ability to <u>plan</u> activities to help nursery aged children learn maths					
I am confident in my ability to <u>further children's maths knowledge</u> when they make spontaneous maths comments/ discoveries <i>Example: When child says "I have four blocks" asking child how many blocks he would have if you gave him one more</i>					
I am confident in my ability to <u>make sense of children's' confusions</u> when they learn maths <i>Example: Why child thinks ∇ and \triangleleft aren't the same shape</i>					
I am confident in my ability to <u>translate</u> assessments into curriculum plans (i.e. turning assessments of children into next steps for learning)					

Thank you very much for sharing your experiences

